

**IN THE COURT OF SRI MANORANJAN KUMAR JHA,**  
**ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV<sup>th</sup>, BHOJPUR AT ARA.**  
***Bail Petition No. 728 of 2026***  
**(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 440 of 2025)**  
**Vikki Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar**

**09.03.2026** The present Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner namely **Vikki Kumar**, who is in judicial custody since 16.12.2025 in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 440 of 2025 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 103(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S.

Heard Sri Ganesh Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri Ram Prasad Ram, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on Bail Petition.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has never applied for bail either anticipatory or regular before this Ld. Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna and no petition for bail is pending in any court prior to the present one.

The main allegation as per F.I.R. is that on 15.12.2025 at about 09:00 A.M., while the informant's mother and other family member were cleaning outside of her house at that time, the accused/petitioner along with others came there and starting abusing and assaulting them. It is further alleged that the petitioner assaulted her mother with iron rod and the informant took her mother to Sadar Hospital Ara, where during treatment her mother Roshni Biwi succumbed to injury.

The main submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It has been submitted that the other co-accused namely Anju Devi, Khusbu and Ankit @ Nikki were allowed bail and co-accused Ankit @ Nikki declared juvenile and co-accused Neha @ Bandana was allowed anticipatory bail vide ABP-163/2026 by this Ld. Court. Further submitted that the other co-accused Khusbu Kumari also filed a complaint case no. 20/2026 against 20 person including the brother of informant.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail petition and submitted that the allegations against the petitioner is serious in nature so, he does not deserve to bail.

I have heard both the sides and perused the record. On perusal of the record it transpires that the case has been instituted at the instance of informant namely, Shaida Khatun. From perusal of case record, it transpires

**Continued...**  
**09.03.2026**

that the informant of this case in his re-statement and the witnesses of this case in their statements have fully supported the prosecution case which reflects from para nos. 5, 6, and 7 of the case diary. Para 9 of the C.D. is the place of occurrence which also corroborates the case. On perusal of inquest report of the deceased Roshni Biwi in which the police has found injury on the head of the deceased which also supports the case of the prosecution. Moreover, the allegation against the petitioner is specifically of assault upon the victim/deceased by iron rod and death of one of the victim.

Considering the seriousness of the alleged offence appears against the petitioner, I am not inclined to give the privilege of bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the regular bail prayer of the petitioner **Vikki Kumar** is hereby rejected.

**Dictated and corrected by me**

Additional Sessions Judge-IV<sup>th</sup>,  
Bhojpur at Ara  
09.03.2026