



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 596 of 2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 58 of 2026)

Sawaru Singh and Six Ors

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
06.04.2026	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, 1. Sawaru Singh 2. Lorik Singh 3. Suresh Yadav 4. Puna Singh @ Puna Yadav 5. Umesh Singh 6. Shiv Kumar Singh and 7. Shambhu Singh @ Shambhu Kumar Yadav, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 58/2026 u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS, put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Singh, Learned Counsel for petitioners, Sri. Sushil Kumar Singh on behalf of the informant and Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State.</p> <p>3. It is case of the prosecution that on 09.02.2026 at about 7:00 PM all the FIR named accused persons in pre-planned manner due to previous land dispute armed with lathi, danda came at the door of the informant and accused Sonu Kumar assaulted with lathi on the head of Neeru Devi due to which she fell down, accused Pramod Singh and Upendra Singh assaulted</p>	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 596 of 2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 58 of 2026)

<p>Contd. 06.04.2026</p>	<p>Pawan Kumar Singh by Katta on his head due to which he sustain injury on his head, accused Chandan Kumar, Sonu Singh and Lorik Singh assaulted the niece of the informant on her back by fat and fist, accused Bhorik Singh assaulted by danda on the head of Ramjan Singh due to which he also sustain injury on his head. It is alleged that Sonu Singh and Suresh Singh were instigating other accused persons to kill them. It is alleged that accused Shambhu Singh snatched golden Mahaviri of Nishu Kumari and Neeru Devi.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioner Shiv Kumar Singh has a antecedent and other petitioners have clean antecedent. It is argued that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that no specific overt act has been alleged against the petitioners and only exaggerated allegation made against them. As admitted there is land dispute between the parties and a counter case vide Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 62 of 2026 has also been lodged against the informant side. The petitioners are</p>	
--	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 596 of 2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 58 of 2026)

<p>Contd. 06.04.2026</p>	<p>non-assailant persons and petitioner Sawaru Singh is senior citizen aged about 80 years, Puna Singh is senior citizen aged about 73 years, Lorik Singh is senior citizen aged about 64 years and Shiv Kumar Singh is also senior citizen aged about 65 years having clean antecedent. The petitioners undertake to comply with any condition as imposed by this court, hence, bail petition of petitioners may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary, Learned P.P. as well as Ld. Counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners and submitted that petitioners along with other co-accused persons in pre-planned manner mercilessly beaten the informant and his family members due to which four persons received injury and this case is still at initial stage, hence, bail petition of the petitioners should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record, injury report & written statement. The allegations against the petitioners along with other co-accused persons that they mercilessly beaten the informant and his family members by lathi, danda. From perusal of injury report it shows injured Pawan Kumar got fracture in the upper</p>	
-------------------------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 596 of 2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 58 of 2026)

<p>Contd. 06.04.2026</p>	<p>ulna and his nature of injury is grievous in nature and other injured persons namely Nishu Kumari, Ram Sajan Singh and Nilu Devi sustain simple nature of injury. It is clear from perusal of FIR that specific allegations made against the accused Sonu Kumar, Pramod Sigh, Upendra Singh, Chandan Kumar and Bhorik Singh. The petitioners herein are non-assailants and no specific overt act has been assigned and only exaggerated and unsubstantiated allegations made against them. As admitted there is land dispute between the parteis and a counter case vide Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 62 of 2026 has also been lodged by the petitioners side against the prosecution party. The petitioners are non-assailant persons and petitioner Sawaru Singh is senior citizen aged about 80 years, Puna Singh is senior citizen aged about 73 years, Lorik Singh is senior citizen aged about 64 years and Shiv Kumar Singh is also senior citizen aged about 65 years having clean antecedent. The petitioners and informant are co-villagers and they undertake to comply with any condition imposed by this court.</p> <p>7. Considering the fact that the petitioners are non-assailants persons, case and counter case between the parties, nature of injury, clean</p>	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 596 of 2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 58 of 2026)

<p>Contd. 06.04.2026</p>	<p>antecedent of the petitioner, bail petition of petitioners, namely, 1. Sawaru Singh 2. Lorik Singh 3. Suresh Yadav 4. Puna Singh @ Puna Yadav 5. Umesh Singh 6. Shiv Kumar Singh and 7. Shambhu Singh @ Shambhu Kumar Yadav is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 06.04.2026</p>	