

IN THE COURT OF SRI MANORANJAN KUMAR JHA,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IVth, BHOJPUR AT ARA.
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 588 of 2026
(Arising out of Complaint Case No. 619C of 2023)
Mahavir Ram Vs. The State of Bihar

12.03.2026

The present Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner namely **Mahavir Ram** who is apprehending his arrest in the hands of police in connection with **Complaint Case** No. 619C of 2023 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 498(A) of I.P.C.

Heard Sri Mithilesh Kumar Singh learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri Ram Prasad Ram learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on Bail Petition.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner never applied for regular bail or anticipatory bail in this case before Ld. Principal Sessions Judge or Hon'ble High Court prior to the present one.

The main allegation against the petitioner is of demand of Rs. 02 lakhs as dowry and on its non fulfillment, tortured and ousted the complainant. It is further alleged that the husband of the complainant has illicit relation with his own bhabhi.

The main submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case due to some ulterior motive and the petitioner is ready to keep the complainant with him as wife. Further submitted that the other co-accused were allowed on bail by Ld. Lower Court by giving observation of this Court in ABP-3359/2025 and the petitioner has no any criminal antecedent.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail petition and submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature, so, he does not deserve to anticipatory bail.

I have heard both the sides and perused the case record. On perusal of record, it reflects that the complainant namely Phool Kumari married with the petitioner. Furthermore, the petitioner is ready to keep the complainant in his society in dignified manner and also submits affidavit affirming the same. Further the petitioner claims of having clean antecedent.

Considering all these discussed facts and the fact that the

Continued...
12.03.2026

petitioner, through affidavit, ready to keep the complainant in his society, in my view a lenient approach can be taken in respect of the petitioner's prayer. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail prayer of the above named petitioner is hereby allowed and in event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within 30 days from the date of this order he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the court below subject to conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C. subject to the following conditions:-

- The petitioner/accused shall take the complainant in his society as and when the complainant shows her willingness to live.
- The petitioner shall directly or indirectly not try to impress upon the witnesses of the case.
- Ld. P.P./D.P.O. will be at liberty to file cancellation of bail, if petitioner fails to abide by his affidavit statement filed with the bail petition.

Dictated and corrected by me

Additional Sessions Judge-IVth,
Bhojpur at Ara.
12.03.2026