



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**  
**Bail Petition No.698/2026**  
**(arising out of Udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 612 of 2025)**

Sujeet Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
11.03.2026	<p>Bail petition filed on behalf of accused-petitioner namely Sujeet Kumar, who is in judicial custody since 06.01.2026 in connection with Udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No.-612/2025 under Sections 109, 61(2) of B.N.S. &amp; 27 Arms Act., put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Shailendra Pratap Singh, learned counsel for petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, the learned P.P. for the state.</p> <p>3. On basis of fardbayan of the informant Subodh Paswan @ Raju in front of Police officer at Shanti Memorial Hospital the informant had voluntarily given his statement without any fear, pressure and coercion and alleged that on 25.12.2025 at about 1:00 P.M. the informant received a call from mobile no. 8864017709 stating that there was work for a labourer in his hotel and that if he came he would be given work and thereafter the informant had left his house contacted number 8864017709 and had reached Chandwa Hanuman Temple Sankat</p>	



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**Bail Petition No.698/2026**

**(arising out of Udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 612 of 2025)**

<p><b>Contd.</b> <b>11.03.2026</b></p>	<p>Mochan where the caller had been sitting on a black Apache motorcycle and had been waiting while taking off his helmet and as soon as the informant had reached there the said boy had made the informant to sit on his motorcycle and had taken him near the village Bampali dam and on seeing the place deserted the said boy had fired four bullets at the informant and after firing he ran away from Bampali dam towards the village and the informant had not known anything about that boy as who he was and thereafter the informant had somehow asked the person who working in the field for help and police administration had admitted the informant to hospital.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that petitioner is a young boy aged about 26 years and not named in the F.I.R. only on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Rahul Kumar, has been falsely implicated in this case, hence bail petition of petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that the present case relates to serious offence of firearm injury in which</p>	
--	---	--



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**  
**Bail Petition No.698/2026**  
**(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 612 of 2025)**

<p><b>Contd.</b> <b>11.03.2026</b></p>	<p>informant got injury at his vital part of body , hence, bail petition of the petitioner is devoid of merits and same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard rival submissions of both sides and perused the case record. Initially, petitioner is not named in F.I.R. and as per confessional statement of co-accused Rahul Kumar para-59 of case diary the name of petitioner cropped up in this case. As per prosecution story the victim called for working as labour in a hotel through mobile no. 8864017709. Further, as per confessional statement of co-accused Rahul Kumar confessed that he purchased sim bearing mobile no. 8864017709 from stall at K.G. Road from Sujeet Kumar. It is clear from all these above discussed facts the name of this petitioner cropped up in this case only on basis of the fact that he sold sim to the co-accused Rahul Kumar. It is also clear from the confessional statement of Rahul Kumar that the Sujeet Kumar is only vendor of selling sim cards. petitioner is in judicial custody since 06.01.2026, he has been falsely implicated in this case and man of clean antecedent. It</p>	
--	--	--



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**Bail Petition No.698/2026**

**(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 612 of 2025)**

<p>Contd. 11.03.2026</p>	<p>appears that exaggerated and unsubstantiated allegation made against this petitioner, considering the facts discussed above, hence, bail petition of petitioner Sujeet Kumar is <b>allowed</b> and he is directed to be released on personal bail-bonds of Rs. 5000/-(five thousand) subject to the condition that petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected, and the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or before any police officer or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p>(Dictated &amp; corrected by me)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>( Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 11.03.2026</p>	



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**Bail Petition No.698/2026**

**(arising out of Udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 612 of 2025)**