

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 580/2026****(arising out of Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 28 of 2026)****Jai Kishor Prasad @ Chhote Jee****Petitioner****Versus****State of Bihar****Opp. Party**

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
<b>25.03.2026</b>	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, <b>Jai Kishor Prasad @ Chhote Jee</b>, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 28/2026 u/ss. 334(1), 303(2) and 317(2) of B.N.S., put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Manish Singh, Learned counsel for Petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State.</p> <p>3. It is the case of prosecution that on 08.01.2026 at about 09:00 P.M. when artisans and other employees of the shop when closed the shop and left her home thereafter on the same day at about 11:10 P.M. broke the shutter of the shop and the curtain hanging inside the shop to conceal their face and cut the wire of CCTV camera and stole all the items specifically golden jewelry 80g bearing the hallmark and</p>	

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 580/2026****(arising out of Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 28 of 2026)**

<b>Contd. 25.03.2026</b>	<p>certification. On the next date, i.e., 09.01.2026 when two employees, namely, Kundan Kumar and Sheikh Maidul Islam opened the shop then they found golden items were vanished and other items were scattered. Informant had dismissed one employee/craftsman, whose resident is Anith, one month ago and strongly suspect on him, hence, this FIR was lodged. It also appears from the perusal of record that Sections mentioned in the FIR is punishable with less than 7 years of imprisonment.</p> <p>4. On behalf of the petitioner, it is submitted that no other bail petition has been filed or is pending either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble Court. It is also submitted that petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner also submitted that petitioner is a senior citizen aged about 65 years and he undertakes to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary, Ld. Public Prosecutor</p>	
------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 580/2026****(arising out of Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 28 of 2026)**

<b>Contd. 25.03.2026</b>	<p>vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is also involved in alleged offence, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard the rival submission of both the parties and perused the case record minutely. There is general, omnibus, exaggerated, bald and unsubstantiated allegation made against the petitioner. Petitioner is a senior citizen aged about 65 years, permanent resident of Bhojpur and he also undertakes to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner is allowed.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case discussed hereinabove and Section mentioned in the FIR is punishable with less than 7 years of imprisonment and same is squarely covered by law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of <b>Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273</b> and <b>Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. C.B.I 2023 (SC) 233</b>, hence, anticipatory</p>	
------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 580/2026****(arising out of Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 28 of 2026)**

<b>Contd. 25.03.2026</b>	bail petition of petitioners, namely, <b>Jai Kishor Prasad @ Chhote Jee</b> is <b>allowed</b> and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.  (Dictated & corrected by me)  sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 25.03.2026	