



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 570/2026**  
**(Arising out of Imadpur PS Case No. 12/2026)**

Vinay Kumar Chaudhary & Anrs.

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
<b>09.03.2026</b>	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, 1. Vinay Kumar Chaudhary, 2. Sikandar Ram, who is apprehending their arrest in connection with Imadpur P.S. Case No. 12/2026 registered u/ss 303 (2), 317(2), 318(2), 338, 336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 3(5) of BNS &amp; u/s 56(1), 56(2) of BM (CPIMTS) Rules put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Suman Singh, learned counsel for petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state.</p> <p>3. This case lodged on the Official Complaint of complainant Raj Gaurav, Mines Inspector, Bhojpur and as per prosecution case on 04.02.2026 at 12:55 O'clock the police party seized two tractors 1. Swaraj 735 Fee, Chassis No. MBNAK48ACPTH13465, Engine No. CJ. 1354/PG 007097 and 2. Swaraj 735 Fee, Chassis No. MBNAK48ACRTF30298, Engine No. CJ. 1354/AE001952, loaded with illegal sand 120 CFT and 125 CFT respectively with</p>	



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 570/2026**

**(Arising out of Imadpur PS Case No. 12/2026)**

<p><b>Contd.</b> <b>09.03.2026</b></p>	<p>forge Challans. The police team apprehended the drivers namely 1. Rahul Kumar and 2. Amit Kumar. Petitioner no. 1 is owner of tractor bearing Registration No. BR 03GB 8662, Chassis No. MBNAK48ACPTH13465 and petitioner no. 2 is owner of tractor bearing Registration no. BR 24GC 9427, Chassis No. MBNAK48ACRTF30298.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for petitioners stated that prior to the preset bail petition, the petitioners are not moved for their regular or anticipatory bail before this Court or before to the Hon'ble High Court. Ld. counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that petitioners are men of clean antecedent and no offence has been committed by them, and petitioners are innocent. It has been further submitted by Ld. Counsel that the police has falsely implicated the petitioners. It is also submitted by the petitioners that the petitioners undertake to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, hence, bail petition of petitioners may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and submitted that the tractors of petitioners has been seized by police party, loaded with illegal</p>	
--	--	--



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 570/2026**

**(Arising out of Imadpur PS Case No. 12/2026)**

<p><b>Contd.</b> <b>09.03.2026</b></p>	<p>sand and thus it is clear that petitioners are indulged in a serious offence of revenue loss, hence, anticipatory bail petition is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard rival submissions of both sides and perused the case record, all sections mentioned in the FIR is punishable with less than 7 years of imprisonment, the allegation is composite in nature and no specific allegation is cited in written complaint against the petitioners. It appears from perusal of written complaint that the present petitioners are owners of the seized tractors and not present at the place of occurrence. It is also matter of record that accused persons are men of clean antecedent and they undertake to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, It is also important to note that all witnesses in this case are official witnesses and case of the prosecution is based on digital / electronic evidence in the control of Mining Department, so there is no chance of either influencing the witnesses or tampering the evidence. Hence, the bail petition of the petitioners <b>1. Vinay Kumar Chaudhary, 2. Sikandar Ram</b> is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on</p>	
--	--	--



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 570/2026**

**(Arising out of Imadpur PS Case No. 12/2026)**

<p><b>Contd. 09.03.2026</b></p>	<p>anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p>(Dictated &amp; corrected by me)</p> <p>sd/-</p> <p>(Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 09.03.2026</p>	