

**IN THE COURT OF SRI MANORANJAN KUMAR JHA**  
**ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IV<sup>th</sup>, BHOJPUR AT ARA.**  
***Bail Petition No. 629 of 2026***  
**(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 179 of 2019)**  
**Rabindra Kumar Singh Vs. The State of Bihar**

**09.03.2026** The present bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner namely **Rabindra Kumar Singh** who is in judicial custody since 28.01.2026 in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 179 of 2019 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 323, 504, 506, 498(A), 34 of I.P.C.

Heard Sri Nand Jee Singh learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri Ram Prasad Ram learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on Bail Petition.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that earlier the petitioner had filed his anticipatory bail application in the court of Ld. Principal District and Sessions Judge, Bhojpur at Ara which was rejected thereafter he moved for anticipatory bail application before Hon'ble High Court, Patna which was also rejected thereafter no bail application has been filed either in this Ld. Court before Hon'ble High Court, Patna nor any bail application is pending in any court.

The main allegation is that the informant married with the petitioner on 03.05.2008 and the petitioner is in army service as Hawaldar posted at Udampur (Jammu) where she resides with her husband and on 03.05.2013 she along with her son fell down from the roof due to which received injury on her waist and she was unable to move and after this incident her husband started assaulting and tortured her and threaten to perform second marriage with another women. Further alleged that she complaints her husband before army officer, Ludhiana and on 04.06.2019 and when she went to her Nanad's house, petitioner along with other co-accused assaulted her.

The main submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in the case and no occurrence as alleged by the prosecution did ever take place. Further submitted that no dowry was ever demanded by the petitioner from the informant and her family members nor the informant was tortured and the petitioner is the husband of the informant and is ready to keep her wife (Informant) with him with full dignity, honour and love.

**Continued....**  
**09.03.2026**

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail petition and submitted that the allegations against the petitioner is serious in nature, so, he does not deserve to bail.

I have heard both the sides and perused the case record. On perusal of the record, it transpires that the case has been registered by the informant Dharmshila Devi alleging cruelty. It further reflects that the petitioner is ready to keep the informant in his society in dignified manner. Furthermore, the petitioner is in judicial custody since 28.01.2026 and claims of having clean antecedent.

In light of the aforementioned facts and circumstances and mainly considering the custody period of the petitioner, let the petitioner namely **Rabindra Kumar Singh** be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to the following conditions:-

- The petitioner/accused shall co-operate with trial as and when required to do so and physically present before the court concerned till disposal of the case.
- The petitioner shall directly or indirectly not try to impress upon the witnesses of the case.
- The petitioner shall file an affidavit before Ld. Court below as to keep his wife in his society with dignity.

**Dictated and corrected by me**

Additional Sessions Judge-IV<sup>th</sup>,  
Bhojpur at Ara.  
09.03.2026