

**IN THE COURT OF II<sup>nd</sup> ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA**  
**Sunil Kumar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar**  
**A.B.P. No.-543 of 2026**  
**Complaint Case No. 1027C/2023**  
**U/ss - 341, 323, 504, 498(A) IPC & 3/4 D.P. Act.**

Serial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

<b><u>19-03-2026</u></b>	<p>The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner namely Sunil Kumar Yadav apprehending his arrest in connection with the present case.</p> <p>The prosecution case in brief is that the complainant Kiran Devi filed a complaint petition before C.J.M. Bhojpur, Ara against Sunil Kumar Yadav, Madho Yadav, Rahul Yadav and Asha Devi stating that she was married to Sanjay Yadav on 11.03.2011 and her gauna was performed on 28.03.2012. One boy and one daughter were born out of this wedlock. Her husband died in a train accident on 27.09.2014 and after death of her husband she started living in her sasural. During this period her dewar established physical relation with her under the pretext at marriage. When she informed her father in this regard, her father discussed the marriage proposal with her father-in-law in the year 2016 and he started demanding Rs. 5,00,000/- in dowry then panchayati was held and they agreed to marriage. The Tilak was performed on 09.02.2018 and on the same day at night, marriage was performed. In the meantime her 2<sup>nd</sup> husband was about to get a job, everyone started pressuring her to bring Rs. 5,00,000/- from her father, she told them that her father was very poor, then accused persons stopped her food and started beating her, they threatened her that if the demand of Rs. 5,00,000/-in dowry not fulfilled, they would arrange a second marriage of petitioner. On 03.10.2020, accused persons took all her jewelry and cloths ousted her from house, they have also taken her child and demanded Rs. 5,00,000/-. She went to local police station, they advised her to go to court then she filed this complainant case.</p> <p>Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. No occurrence as alleged by the complainant did ever take place. The petitioner and other co-accused have never demanded any dowry nor tortured her for dowry. The petitioner is Dewar of the complainant nor her husband and he has not married with complainant. The complainant demanded her share from the property and when the petitioner and other family members refused then she filed this false and concocted case against them. The petitioner and his family members wants to keep the informant with full respect and dignity. The petitioner works in a private company. Other co-accused persons have been granted bail by the learned S.D.J.M. on 17.12.2025. The petitioner has got clean</p>	
--------------------------	---	--

**IN THE COURT OF II<sup>nd</sup> ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA**  
**Sunil Kumar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar**  
**A.B.P. No.-543 of 2026**  
**Complaint Case No. 1027C/2023**  
**U/ss - 341, 323, 504, 498(A) IPC & 3/4 D.P. Act.**

Serial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

	<p><b><u>Conti.....</u></b>  <b>19-03-2026</b></p>	<p>antecedent.</p> <p>Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.</p> <p>Arguments heard and record perused.</p> <p>From the perusal of the record, it transpires that the allegations against the petitioner is that he established physical relationship with the complainant in the pretext of marriage and thereafter married her. Now he is demanding Rs. 5,00,000/- in dowry and due to non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry, assaulted the complainant and expelled her from her matrimonial house after taking her jewelry and cloth. The complainant present in the court, has informed this court that the petitioner has now started denying that he was ever married to her and pressurizing her to bring Rs. 5,00,000/- in dowry. She further states that her father is poor person and he cannot arrange this much of amount to give to the petitioner and her in-laws. The allegation against the petitioner is very serious.</p> <p>Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, hence the petition so filed on behalf of petitioner is hereby <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Dictated</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Sudhakar Pandey)</b>  II<sup>nd</sup> Addl. Sessions Judge  Bhojpur at Ara  19.03.2026</p>	
--	--	--	--

**IN THE COURT OF II<sup>nd</sup> ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA**  
**Sunil Kumar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar**  
**A.B.P. No.-543 of 2026**  
**Complaint Case No. 1027C/2023**  
**U/ss - 341, 323, 504, 498(A) IPC & 3/4 D.P. Act.**

Serial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

--	--	--	--