

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 765/2026****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 782 of 2026****and****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 795 of 2026****(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 174 of 2026)**

Kundan Yadav		Petitioner
	versus	
State of Bihar		Opp. Party
Raushan Yadav & Anr.		Petitioners
	versus	
State of Bihar		Opp. Party
	And	
Amarjeet Yadav		Petitioner
	versus	
State of Bihar		Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
04.04.2026	1. All these bail petitions relate to the same P.S. case, hence all petitions are decided by this common order. 2. A.B.P No. 765 of 2026 has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Kundan Yadav, A.B.P No. 782 of 2026 has been filed on behalf of the petitioners namely, 1. Raushan Yadav and 2. Suraj Yadav and A.B.P No. 795 of 2026 has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Amarjeet Yadav who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Ara Nawada P.S. case no. 174/2026 u/ss. 103(1), 351(3), 352, 3(5) of	

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 765/2026****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 782 of 2026**

and

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 795 of 2026**(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 174 of 2026)**

Contd. 04.04.2026	B.N.S & 27 of Arms Act, put up for hearing. 3. Heard Shri Arun Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner in A.B.P No. 765 of 2026, Shri Bharat Singh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the petitioners in A.B.P No. 782 of 2026, Shri. Shivjee Singh, Ld. counsel for the petitioner in A.B.P No. 795 of 2026 and Shri Rana Pratap Singh learned P.P. for the State. 4. It is the case of the prosecution that informant Anuradha Devi was travelling along with her son Aadarsh Kumar, who is deceased herein then due to previous dispute between deceased Aadarsh Kumar and Manjeet Yadav, Amarjeet Yadav, Navneet Yadav @ Loha Yadav (all three are Sons of Sanjay Yadav), Raushan Yadav, Kundan Yadav and Suraj Yadav use to threaten with dire consequences to the deceased Aadarsh Kumar and on 28.02.2026 when informant was accompany deceased for local market then Navneet Yadav @ Loha Yadav and Manjeet Yadav waylaid informant and deceased Aadarsh Kumar and caused gunshot injury in the chest of deceased and on the place	
------------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 765/2026****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 782 of 2026**

and

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 795 of 2026**(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 174 of 2026)**

Contd. 04.04.2026	of occurrence one Pushpendra Kumar and Rahul Kumar were also present as a eye-witness. 5. Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that the petitioners never applied for regular or anticipatory bail before this Court or before any other superior Court. It has further been submitted by Id. Counsel for the petitioners that they are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case and no case is made out under Section 103(1) B.N.S and 27 of Arms Act against the petitioners. The petitioners are men of clean antecedent and petitioners also undertakes not to influence either directly or indirectly any of the prosecution witnesses, hence, bail petition of the petitioners may be allowed. 6. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and submitted that in broad day light on a public road deceased was waylaid by accused Manjeet Yadav and Navneet Yadav @ Loha Yadav(both are real brother) and caused fire-arm injury in	
------------------------------	--	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 765/2026****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 782 of 2026**

and

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 795 of 2026**(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 174 of 2026)**

Contd. 04.04.2026	the chest of Aadarsh Kumar, who later on succumb to injury , hence, bail petition of the petitioners may be dismissed. 7. I have heard the rival arguments of the Ld. counsel for the petitioners as well as Ld. P.P and perused the record. Petitioners herein named is FIR named accused persons, identity of the accused persons is not in dispute, informant specifically stated that the co-accused persons Manjeet Yadav and Navneet Yadav @ Loha Yadav(both are real brother) & accused persons are also related to one another and there is history of previous dispute in which deceased was threatened by accused persons and later on caused gunshot injury in the chest of deceased Aadarsh Kumar in full public view. In the course of evidence statement of independent witnesses Pushpendra Kumar recorded in para 4 of case diary and case of the prosecution is corroborated in toto by postmortem report and inquest report on record. 8. Considering the nature of offence and	
------------------------------	--	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 765/2026****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 782 of 2026**

and

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 795 of 2026**(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 174 of 2026)**

Contd. 04.04.2026	there are genuine chances that accused persons/petitioner herein may unduly influence witnesses not to make statement before Investigating Officer or make false statement and they may derail the trial. I found in the force of Ld. P.P that custodial interrogation of petitioners namely Kundan Yadav, Raushan Yadav, Suraj Yadav and Amarjeet Yadav is required to ascertain the truth, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners namely Kundan Yadav in A.B.P No. 765 of 2026, petitioners namely, 1. Raushan Yadav and 2. Suraj Yadav in A.B.P No. 782 of 2026, and petitioner namely, Amarjeet Yadav in A.B.P No. 795 of 2026 are devoid of merit and same is dismissed. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 04.04.2026	