



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE

Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 582 of 2026

With



B.P. No. 624 of 2026

(Both are arising out of Cyber PS Case No. 11/2026)

Rohit Kumar & Anrs.

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

with

Suman Saurabh Chauhan

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
18.03.2026	1. Both these bail petition relate to the same P.S. case, hence both are decided by this common order. 2. B.P No. 582 of 2026 has been filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, 1. Rohit Kumar, 2. Mohit Kumar, and B.P No. 624 of 2026 has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Suman Saurabh Chauhan, who are judicial custody since 06.02.2026 in connection with Cyber P.S. Case FIR No. 11/2026 u/ss. 318(4), 319(2) of B.N.S. and 66(c), 66(d) of I.T. Act, put up for hearing.	
Contd.		



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE

Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 582 of 2026

With



B.P. No. 624 of 2026

(Both are arising out of Cyber PS Case No. 11/2026)

18.03.2026	3. Heard Shri Salman, learned counsel for petitioner, and Shri Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state. 4. This FIR No. 11 of 2026 lodged by P.S. Cyber (Bhojpur) on 05.02.2026 under Sections 318(4), 319(2) of B.N.S. & 66(c), 66(d) of I.T. Act. It is case of informant S.I. Sheikh Rehan, presently posted at Cyber P.S., Bhojpur, that on 05.02.2026 Dy. S.P. cum SHO directed him to investigate two accused persons apprehended in Ara Town Police Station. During the course of investigation both the accused persons namely Rohit Kumar and Mohit Kumar disclosed that Rohit Kumar with help of Abhishek Kumar meet Suraj Singh Rajput and Abhishek told to Rohit Kumar that Suraj Kumar needed bank accounts for transactions of 'Balu Ghat' and Rohit Kumar offered by Abhishek Kumar, Rs. 3000/- per account for made available such accounts to Suraj Kumar, further Rohit Kumar placed the same offer before Mohit Kumar and thereafter, after, opening the bank accounts of different peoples, Rohit Kumar and Mohit	
--------------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE

Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 582 of 2026

With



B.P. No. 624 of 2026

(Both are arising out of Cyber PS Case No. 11/2026)

Contd. 18.03.2026	Kumar gave their passbook and ATM to Suraj Kumar and both of them got some commission for that. It is also revealed by Rohit Kumar and Mohit Kumar that they gave some commissions also to the persons who holds the accounts used in such transactions. It has further disclosed by Rohit Kumar and Mohit Kumar that some bank officials are also involved to opening such accounts for fraudulent transactions and also activating dormant accounts and for the same all of them got some considerable amount as commission. Initially petitioner Suman Saurabh Chauhan was not named in FIR and on basis of confessional statement of Rohit Kumar and Mohit Kumar the name of petitioner Suman Saurabh Chauhan cropped up in this case and it has been alleged that Suman Saurabh Chauhan is employee of Utkarsh Bank and he demanded Rs. 1000/- per account from his holder for opening the same for fraudulent transactions. 5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that earlier the petitioner has not	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE

Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 582 of 2026

With



B.P. No. 624 of 2026

(Both are arising out of Cyber PS Case No. 11/2026)

Contd. 18.03.2026	moved for his anticipatory or regular bail on their behalf, either before this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court and the petitioners are men of clean antecedent. Ld. counsel petitioner further submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. It has been further argued that in this case all the offences are bailable except section 318 (4) of BNS and Hon'ble Supreme Court has opined to serve notice u/s 35(3) of BNSS of such case punishable with imprisonment upto seven years and in the preset case I.O. even Ld. Magistrate did not copy with the above said direction of Hon'ble Supreme Court. The petitioners are ready to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court. Hence, the bail petitions of petitioners may be allowed. 5. On the contrary, learned P.P. along with learned counsel for informant vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and submitted that the accused persons are involved in serious offence of Cyber Crime which has been corroborated with the	
--	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE

Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 582 of 2026

With



B.P. No. 624 of 2026

(Both are arising out of Cyber PS Case No. 11/2026)

Contd. 18.03.2026	materials available in the case diary, hence, the bail petition of petitioners is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard the rival submission of both parties and perused the case record, FIR as well as case diary. Before entering into the merit of the case for understanding immense opportunity for accused persons, I am extracting herein para 41 and para 44 of case dairy - Para - 41 It is came to know on perusal of Whatsapp chating of FIR named accused Mohit Kumar, that bank employee Suman Saurabh Chauhan has opened several bank accounts in last one year - <table border="1" data-bbox="456 1697 1235 2040"> <thead> <tr> <th>SL. No.</th><th>Account No.</th><th>Bank</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>01</td><td>1356020000001234</td><td rowspan="5">Utkarsh Small Finance Bank</td></tr> <tr> <td>02</td><td>1356019576770875</td></tr> <tr> <td>03</td><td>1356020000001097</td></tr> <tr> <td>04</td><td>1047019576512493</td></tr> <tr> <td>05</td><td>1047018085852235</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	SL. No.	Account No.	Bank	01	1356020000001234	Utkarsh Small Finance Bank	02	1356019576770875	03	1356020000001097	04	1047019576512493	05	1047018085852235	
SL. No.	Account No.	Bank														
01	1356020000001234	Utkarsh Small Finance Bank														
02	1356019576770875															
03	1356020000001097															
04	1047019576512493															
05	1047018085852235															



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE

Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 582 of 2026

With



B.P. No. 624 of 2026

(Both are arising out of Cyber PS Case No. 11/2026)

Contd.
18.03.2026

06	1047020000000100	
07	1047019893704023	
08	1047020000000099	
09	1047018827642693	
10	1047019264244747	
11	1047010000007294	
12	1047010000007297	
13	1047018109243651	
14	1047017772056224	
15	1047018102820672	
16	1047018651290489	
17	1047017324910902	
18	1047017808662167	
19	1047019229549070	
20	1047018207354251	
21	10470173528985587	

Para 44

Complain received through JMIS(SAMANVAYA) portal from different regarding said Account States are as follows

SL. No.	Account No.	ACK No.	State
01	1047019576512493	21601250000628	Karnataka
		30512240057422	Bihar (Sheikhpura)
		30812240063829	Delhi



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE

Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 582 of 2026

With



B.P. No. 624 of 2026

(Both are arising out of Cyber PS Case No. 11/2026)

Contd. 18.03.2026			31912240187010	Maharashtra
			32912240037235	Tamilnadu
			33212240045766	West Bengal
	02	1047018109243651	31912240176378	Maharashtra
			33712240060928	Telangana
	03	1047017772056224	23108250119341	Uttarpradesh
			32708250065144	Rajasthan
	04	1047018651290489	30812240063829	Delhi
			32712240071072	Rajasthan
			33212240045766	West Bengal
	05	1047017324910902	31911240161955	Maharashtra
			31912240175645	
			31912240183877	
	06	1047017808662167	31901250007461	Maharashtra
			31912240183877	
			32912240037235	Tamilnadu
			33107250078400	Uttarpradesh
	07	1047018207354251	30812240063829	Delhi
			31912240187010	Mahashtra
	08	1047017352898587	21112240013391	Gujrat
	On perusal of materials available on record it transpires that, these petitioners are involved in opening bank accounts for fraudulent / illegal transactions and for this purpose they enticed common people to open bank accounts for fraudulent transactions in the pretext of giving them commission for the same. In his			



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE

Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 582 of 2026

With



B.P. No. 624 of 2026

(Both are arising out of Cyber PS Case No. 11/2026)

Contd. 18.03.202 6	confessional statement of Mohit Kumar mentioned in para 09 of case diary, it has been confessed by Mohit Kumar, that Mohit Kumar had opened three bank accounts in different banks and gave the passbook to Suraj Kumar through Abhishek Kumar for transactions and for the same he received Rs. 2500/- to 3000/- per account. Similarly, Rohit Kumar also received commissions for providing bank accounts for fraudulent / illegal transactions. It has also been confessed by Mohit Kumar that for opening these bank accounts, the Utkarsh Bank employee namely Saurabh Suman helped them and for his help Surabh Suman also received Rs. 1000/- per account. On perusal of the Whatsapp of Mohit Kumar the police given the details of Bank Accounts opened by Suman Saurabh Chauhan in one financial year in para 42 of case diary. Further it has been mentioned in para 44 of case diary that Suman Chauhan received commission by online payment through his mobile no. 9431001274. It is clear from all these above discussed facts that all these above named petitioners are involved in Cyber Fraud at	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE

Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 582 of 2026

With



B.P. No. 624 of 2026

(Both are arising out of Cyber PS Case No. 11/2026)

Contd. 18.03.202 6	mass leval. Considering allegation and the mode of <i>modus operandi</i> of cheating, committing offence, and opening false bank accounts for illegal transactions and for the same promoting / offering common peoples to involve in such crime as well as the fact tha this case is still at initial stage and accused person is in judicial custody since 06.02.2026 and at this stage, considering the nature of allegation, manner in which offence was committed, bail petition is devoid of merit and in addition to that, I will like to adduce herein observations of Hon'ble High Court and and Hon'ble Supreme Court reiterated as follows- “digital fraudulent is very serious in nature where complex technological mechanisms were employed to defraud gullible victims by using multiple communication devises to mislead them and crimes of such a nature are on rise disproportionately and for Investigating Officer it is immensely difficult to crack cases due to boon of technology, in	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE

Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 582 of 2026

With



B.P. No. 624 of 2026

(Both are arising out of Cyber PS Case No. 11/2026)

Contd. 18.03.2026 6	which technology effectively misused by crooks to wreak havoc and evade the law enforcement and the task of Investigating Agency seems arduous”, hence, bail petition of petitioner, of BP No. 582 of 2026 and BP No. 624 of 2026 namely, 1. Rohit Kumar, 2. Mohit Kumar, and Suman Saurabh Chauhan respectively are devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge 18.03.2026	