



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 506 of 2026
(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 556 of 2023)

Golu Kumar and one Anr

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
04.04.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners namely, 1. Golu Kumar and 2. Rajkumar Singh, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Koilwar P.S. case no. 556/2023 u/ss. 147, 148, 149, 307 of IPC and 27 of Arms Act. 2. Heard Sri. Mirtunjay Kumar Singh, learned counsel for petitioners as well as Sri. Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the State. 3. The case of the prosecution is that on 10.09.2023 firing is going on in between the group of Uma Shankar Rai and Anish Rai in order to establish supremacy over sand mining, accordingly, the police reached the place of occurrence and on seeing the police, the accused persons fled and from the place of occurrence and 8 Pocklen machines were found in burnt condition and several fired cartridges were also recovered. 4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners submitted	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 506 of 2026

(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 556 of 2023)

Contd. 04.04.2026	that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioners have one criminal antecedent. It is argued that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners were not present at the place of occurrence and nothing incriminating was recovered from their possession. The allegations against the petitioners are general ad omnibus. It is also submitted that similarly situated co-accused persons were granted bail by this court as well as by the Hon'ble High Court and case of the petitioners stands on similar footing. The petitioners are aged about 23 and 61 years respectively and they undertake to cooperate in investigation, hence, bail petition of the petitioners may be allowed. 5. On contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and submitted that the petitioners are named in FIR and they were also actively participated in the alleged occurrence, hence, bail petition of the petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.	
----------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 506 of 2026

(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 556 of 2023)

Contd. 04.04.2026	6. I have heard rival submissions of both sides, perused the case record. It appears from perusal of record that firing took place between two groups but no one was injured. It also appears that similarly situated other co-accused persons were granted anticipatory bail vide A.B.P No. 566 of 2025, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 23435 of 2024, Criminal Miscellaneous No. 60086 of 2024 and Criminal Miscellaneous No. 5899 of 2025 by this court as well as by the Hon'ble High Court. The case of the petitioners is on similar footing than that of co-accused persons. The petitioners are aged about 23 and 61 years respectively having one antecedent. 7. Considering all these aspects, instant bail petition of the petitioners 1. Golu Kumar and 2. Rajkumar Singh is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.50,000/-(Fifty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for	
----------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 506 of 2026

(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 556 of 2023)

Contd. 04.04.2026	interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 04.04.2026	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 506 of 2026

(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 556 of 2023)