

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- Ist, BHOJPUR AT ARA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No.495/2026.
(Arising out of Chauri P.S. Case No.20/2023)

1. Awlesh Kumar, aged about 30 years, S/o Nand Jee Singh.
 2. Acche Kumar, aged about 29 years, S/o Nand Jee Singh.
 3. Vikash Kumar, aged about 28 years, S/o Sharda Singh.
 4. Arunjay Kumar, aged about 19 years, S/o Sharda Singh.
 5. Jitendra Singh, aged about 49 years, S/o Rajnath Singh @ Bhuawal Singh.
- All R/o Vill- Bahuara, P.S.- Dhanchhuha, District- Bhojpur.

.....Accused/Petitioners

Vs

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

ORDER

09.03.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused/petitioners namely Awlesh Kumar, Acche Kumar, Vikash Kumar, Arunjay Kumar and Jitendra Singh who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Chauri P.S. Case No.- 20/2023, registered U/s 341, 323, 379, 307, 427, 504, 506, 34 of IPC.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence and they are falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners have not filed earlier A.B.P. any court and never any B.P. before the Hon'ble court and not filed any regular bail in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioners have got no criminal History. Nothing incriminating articles have been recovered from the persons and conscious possession of the petitioners. It is counterblast of Chauri P.S. Case No.21/23 u/s 341, 323, 379, 307, 427, 504, 506/34 IPC lodged by the Nandjee Singh which is pending in the court of Anita Kumar J.M. At Ara. All offences are bailable except 379, 307 IPC. All the injuries are simple in nature and concocted. There is no specific allegation of 379 and 307 IPC. Therefore, A.B.P. of the petitioners may be allowed.

The Ld. Addl. P.P. has strongly opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail and submitted that the allegation against the petitioners is of very serious nature. Hence, he has prayed to reject the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that informant alleges that he was sitting in his house on 08.03.2023 at about 06:00 P.M., with his family members when the accused

persons named in FIR came variously armed with lathi, danda and on account of old dispute. It is alleged that they started assaulting, on which the informant fled and entered the house of Dinesh Singh when the petitioners assaulted the women folk of the house, who had come to protect them and also snatched golden chain and jewellery and also looted Rs.50,000/-.

Heard all the Ld. Counsels. Perused the case record and case dairy along with LCR. Upon perusal of case record, it transpires that police registered the case U/s 341, 323, 379, 307, 427, 504, 506, 34 of IPC. From perusal of FIR, there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. The police has submitted charge-sheet, stating that these petitioners are not involved in this case. Ld. Lower court took cognizance against the petitioners vide order dated 20.05.2025. It is stated in para-3 of the bail petition, the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances, nature, their clean criminal antecedent and seriousness and gravity of offence, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, petitioner's prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby by **allowed** on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-(ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount on his arrest or surrender within 30 days from the date of receipt of order and to the satisfaction of ld. Court concerned, condition as laid down u/s 482(2) of BNSS.

{Dictated & Corrected by me}

Sd/-
Additional Sessions Judge-1st
Bhojpur at Ara
09.03.2026.

Memo No.

Dated:-

Copy forwarded to the court of.....
for information and needful.

Additional Sessions Judge-Ist,
Bhojpur at Ara.