

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 1782/2026****(arising out of Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 840/24)****Ranjit Kumar****Petitioner****Versus****State of Bihar****Opp. Party**

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
04.06.2026	1. Bail petition filed on behalf of accused person/petitioner, namely, Ranjit Kumar, who is in judicial custody since 12.05.2026 in connection with Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 840/2024 under Section 309(4) of B.N.S., put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Vinay Kumar Singh, Learned Counsel for Petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, the Learned P.P. for the State. 3. It is the case of prosecution that initially FIR against two unknown persons in Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 840/2024 under Section 309(4) of B.N.S. and as per informant Uttam Kumar Pandey that on 22.12.2024 at about 05:00 P.M. accused persons on the point of pistol snatched SBI Atm Card, Aadhar Card, Pan Card, mobile and two golden rings worth Rs. 30,000/-, hence, this FIR was lodged. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 12.05.2026.	

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 1782/2026****(arising out of Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 840/24)**

Contd. 04.06.2026	4. On behalf of the petitioner, it is submitted that no other bail petition has been filed or is pending either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble Court to the present one. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has one criminal antecedent in Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 1018/2023 under Sections 341, 323, 379, 504, 506 and 34 of I.P.C. Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner further submitted that nothing incriminating have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. It is also stated that general, omnibus, exaggerated, bald and unsubstantiated allegation made against the petitioner. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 12.05.2026, he is a young boy of 21 years, permanent resident of Bhojpur and he undertakes to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, bail petition of petitioner may be allowed. 5. On the contrary, Ld. Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is also involved in the alleged offence, several robbed articles were recovered from the house of petitioner, petitioner is not a man of clean antecedent and there are genuine chances that	
------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 1782/2026****(arising out of Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 840/24)**

Contd. 04.06.2026	petitioner may influence witnesses not to depose before Court or depose falsely, hence, bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record minutely. During course of investigation it appears from the perusal of record that robbed articles were recovered from the house of petitioner that includes SBI Atm Card, Aadhar Card, Pan Card, Mobile and two golden rings of informant Uttam Kumar Pandey. There is chances of derail investigation as well as trial. In broad daylight by brandishing firearm on a busy road these articles were robbed and mobile number used by accused and accused demanded Rs. 10,000/- for returning of these robbed articles. Recovery of robbed articles from the house of petitioner corroborate the case of the prosecution and in this case investigation is still pending, hence, bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 7. Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case discussed hereinabove and other material available on	
------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 1782/2026****(arising out of Ara Town P.S. Case FIR No. 840/24)**

Contd. 04.06.2026	record there are genuine chances that petitioner may influence witnesses not to depose before Court or depose falsely and there are genuine chances that the trial may be derailed by the petitioner Ranjit Kumar, hence, at this stage, bail petition of petitioner, namely, Ranjit Kumar is devoid of merit and same is dismissed. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 04.06.2026	