



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 487/2026**

**(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 442 of 2026)**

**Sujit Kumar**

**Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Bihar**

**Opp. Party**

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
07.04.2026	<p>1. This Anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Sujit Kumar, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Koilwar P.S. case no. 442/2024 u/ss. 331(4), 305 of BNS, put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Sri Ram Ishwar Pathak, Id. Counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned P.P. Sri Rana Pratap Singh for the State.</p> <p>3. The case of the informant Deepak Kumar is that on 08.11.2024 he left for Parev, Koilwar after locking his house on the occasion of Chath Puja and when he returned at about 11:00 PM he found the locks of the doors were broken and the golden and silver ornaments, Rs.3,50,000/- cash were stolen. The informant has full belief that accused Sujit Kumar along with his associates committed the theft.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been</p>	



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 487/2026**

**(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 442 of 2026)**

<p>Contd. 07.04.2026</p>	<p>filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioner is man of clean antecedent. It is argued that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and only on the basis of suspicion informant falsely implicated the petitioner. The petitioner is young man aged about 19 years having clean antecedent, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary Learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in F.I.R. and there is specific allegation against him, hence anticipatory bail application should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard the rival submissions of both the parties, perused the case record. As per para-46 of the case diary, the petitioner is man of clean antecedent. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and no</p>	
------------------------------	--	--



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 487/2026**

**(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 442 of 2026)**

<p>Contd. 07.04.2026</p>	<p>independent witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution. The petitioner and informant are co-villager. It appears from perusal of record that non of the Sections mentioned in the FIR punishable with imprisonment for more than 7 years and same is squarely covered by law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of <b>Arnesh Kumar Vrs. State of Bihar (2014)8 SCC 273</b> and <b>Satyendra Kumar Antil Vs. C.B.I. 2023(SC) 233</b>. The petitioner is young man aged about 19 years of age having clean antecedent.</p> <p>7. Considering the fact that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner, the petitioner is young man aged about 19 years having clean antecedent and he undertakes to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner, namely Sujit Kumar is <b>allowed</b> and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender she will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like</p>	
------------------------------	--	--



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 487/2026**

**(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 442 of 2026)**

Contd. 07.04.2026	<p>amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) BNSS and with further condition that the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p>(Dictated &amp; corrected by me)</p> <p>sd/-</p> <p>(Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 07.04.2026</p>	



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 487/2026**

**(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 442 of 2026)**