



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA
A.B.P. No. 469/2026
(arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 34 of 2026)

Ajad Thakur @ Ajad Bhusan Thakur & Anr.

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
12.03.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely; 1. Ajad Thakur @ Ayad Bhusan Thakur and 2. Shashikant Thakur @ Dukhan Thakur who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Jagdishpur P.S Case FIR No. 34/2026 u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303 (2), 352, 351 (2), 3(5) of B.N.S., put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Sanjay Pratap Singh, Learned Counsel for petitioners, Shri Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state. 3. As per the written complaint of informant Madan Seth that on 23.01.2025 at about 7:00 A.M. all the accused persons came at the door of informant with intention to kill informant started assaulting the informant with iron rod at head as a result of which the informant sustained injury on	

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 469/2026****(arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 34 of 2026)**

Contd. 12.03.2026	head. It is further alleged that petitioner Shashikant Thakur @ Dukhan Thakur took away golden chain and took two thousand rupees from informant's pocket and also threatened to kill him. It is further alleged that the reason for the alleged occurrence is previous drainage dispute. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that no bail either regular or anticipatory bail has been filed earlier on behalf of petitioners in this Court. Petitioner no. 1. Ajad Thakur @ Ayad Bhusan Thakur is aged about 59 years old and petitioner no. 2. Shashikant Thakur @ Dukhan Thakur is 28 years young person. Petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and there is also a counter case lodged. hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners may be allowed. 5. On the contrary Learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and submitted that informant received lacerated wound of size 5x10x0.5cm in right region front-to parietal, which is grievous in nature, hence, bail petition of petitioners are devoid	
------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 469/2026****(arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 34 of 2026)**

Contd. 12.03.2026	of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record. It is undisputed fact that dispute arise due to previous drainage system. It appears from para 29 of case diary that injury was not grievous in nature. Since, petitioner no. 1. Ajad Thakur @ Ayad Bhusan Thakur is aged about 59 years old person and petitioner no. 2. Shashikant Thakur @ Dukhan Thakur is 28 years young person. They have been falsely implicated in this case and there is a counter case also lodged. Hence, at this stage, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner, namely, 1. Ajad Thakur @ Ayad Bhusan Thakur and 2. Shashikant Thakur @ Dukhan Thakur are allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make	
------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA
A.B.P. No. 469/2026
(arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 34 of 2026)

Contd. 12.03.2026	themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 12.03.2026	