



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 461 of 2026

(Arising out of Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 516 of 2022)

Pankaj Kumar Ray @ Pankaj Ray

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
11.03.2026	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, Pankaj Kumar Ray @ Pankaj Ray, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 516/2022 u/s 147, 148, 341, 323, 307, 379, 427, 504 of I.P.C, put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Mritunjay Kumar Singh, Learned Counsel for petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State.</p> <p>3. As per written complaint occurrence took place on 12.04.2022 in which the present petitioner and other 24 named accused persons came at the poultry farm of informant and assaulted him by bricks, Lathi and spade and damaged the poultry farm of informant and taken different articles from informant's poultry farm.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in this case earlier no other bail petition either BP or ABP filed or pending on</p>	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 461 of 2026

(Arising out of Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 516 of 2022)

<p>Contd. 11.03.2026</p>	<p>behalf of this petitioner, before this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble High Court. Ld. counsel further submitted that petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and falsely implicated in this case. The prosecution case is completely false and concocted and no occurrence ever took place as alleged by the prosecution. It has been further argued that several co-accused persons have been granted bail by the court of Sessions as well as by the Hon'ble High Court. The petitioner is man of clean antecedent and he undertakes to cooperate in investigation, hence, bail petition of petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition of petitioner and submitted that petitioner also indulged in the alleged occurrence, hence, the present bail petition is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard the rival submissions of both parties and perused the case record along with case diary and FIR. It appears from perusal of case diary the injury report of informant / injured Jaynath Singh is mentioned at para 96 as per which pain and swelling in left arm and bruise on back are found on person of injured,</p>	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 461 of 2026

(Arising out of Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 516 of 2022)

<p>Contd. 11.03.2026</p>	<p>for which opinion has been kept reserved till yet awaiting x-ray report. Ld. counsel for the petitioner has submitted copies of the bail order of co-accused persons which shows that several accused persons of this case have been granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court, by this Court and by the Court of Ld. ASJ-VII, Ara and the case against this petitioner is similar to that of the case of co-accused persons.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances discussed hereinabove, role of the petitioner in the alleged occurrence and to maintain the order of parity of orders, bail petition of petitioner namely, Pankaj Kumar Ray @ Pankaj Ray is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so</p>	
--	--	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 461 of 2026****(Arising out of Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 516 of 2022)**

Contd. 11.03.2026	as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 11.03.2026	
------------------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 461 of 2026

(Arising out of Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 516 of 2022)

--	--	--