

IN THE COURT OF IInd ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA
Uday Shankar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar
A.B.P. No.-428 of 2026
Udwanagar P. S. Case No. 20/2026
U/s - 135 of Electricity Act

Ser ial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

	<u>12-03-2026</u>	<p>The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner namely Uday Shankar Yadav apprehending his arrest in connection with the present case.</p> <p>The case of the prosecution, in brief is that the informant Niraj Prabhakar gave a written application to the officer in-charge of Udwanagar Police Station stating therein that on 08.01.2026 on the basis of secret information regarding electricity theft, he conducted raid and found Uday Shankar Yadav was involved in electricity theft as he was stealing electricity by illegally tapping the main LT line. The electricity theft by Uday Shankar Yadav caused loss of revenue of Rs. 1,67,937/- to the South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd.</p> <p>Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. No occurrence did ever take place as alleged by prosecution. Statement of the informant is totally wrong that the petitioner was consuming electricity without a valid electricity connection by tampering with the meter. The informant is well aware that the electrical connection is given on his orders and the Junior Electrical Engineer goes to the ground and makes the electrical connection. On the order of the informant, Junior Electrical Engineer visited the premises of the petitioner and provided electricity connection. On the perusal of the electricity bill it is evident that the date of connection is 10.06.2025. The perusal of the bill issued by the department, it is also be evident that the petitioner was provided with the electricity bill after 08.12.2025 which was for the period from July 2025 to December 2025 and the petitioner paid the bill amount of Rs.2,397/- on 25.12.2025. On the date of FIR, the informant has disconnected the electricity connection of the petitioner due to which the water plant of the petitioner is closed and the business of the petitioner has come to standstill and he is suffering financial loss every day. On the day of incident, the informant did not ask for any</p>	
--	--------------------------	---	--

IN THE COURT OF IInd ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA
Uday Shankar Yadav Vs. State of Bihar
A.B.P. No.-428 of 2026
Udwanagar P. S. Case No. 20/2026
U/s - 135 of Electricity Act

Ser ial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

<p><u>Conti.....</u> 12-03-2026</p>	<p>document from the petitioner and hurriedly disconnected the electricity connection.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sh. Vimlesh Kumar Shrivastaw, Ld. Panel Advocate for Electricity has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments heard and record perused.</p> <p>From the perusal of the record, it transpires that the allegation against the petitioner is that he was involved in electricity theft and caused loss of revenue of Rs. 1,67,937/-to the South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd. Petitioner is not willing to make the payment of revenue loss caused to the South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd. The allegation against the petitioner is very serious and the investigation is pending.</p> <p>Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, hence the petition so filed on behalf of petitioner is hereby dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Dictated</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Sudhakar Pandey) IInd Addl. Sessions Judge Bhojpur at Ara 12.03.2026</p>	
--	--	--