

**IN THE COURT OF II<sup>nd</sup> ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA**  
**Sanjay Saw @ Sanjay Kumar Vs. State of Bihar**  
**A.B.P. No.-420 of 2026**  
**Babura P. S. Case No. 9/2026**  
**U/s - 135 of Electricity Act**

Ser ial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

	<u><b>12-03-2026</b></u>	<p>The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner namely Sanjay Saw @ Sanjay Kumar apprehending his arrest in connection with the present case.</p> <p>The case of the prosecution, in brief is that the informant Shiv Kumar Prasad gave a written application to the officer in-charge of Babura Police Station stating therein that on 20.01.2026 on the basis of secret information regarding electricity theft, he conducted raid and found Sanjay Saw @ Sanjay Kumar was involved in electricity theft as he was stealing electricity by illegally tapping the main LT line. The electricity theft by Sanjay Saw @ Sanjay Kumar caused loss of revenue of Rs.56,128/- to the South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd .</p> <p>Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. No occurrence ever did take place as alleged by prosecution. The petitioner is in Government service (Indian Railways Department) and resides with his family in Danapur (Patna) in connection with his job. The petitioner has no involvement in running or controlling or having any other involvement in the said hotel. The petitioner's mother Draupadi Devi has given her vacant premises (ground) on rent to a person named Kunal Kumar, for which a simple lease deed has also been executed between the petitioner's mother and Kunal Kumar on 14.09.2025. It is clear from the copy of the lease agreement that Kunal Kumar has to pay rent of Rs.1000/- per month and he has to put up his shed. It is written in the same simple lease agreement that the shopkeeper will have to take the electricity connection and make the payment.</p> <p>Sh. Vimlesh Kumar Shrivastaw, Ld. Panel Advocate for Electricity has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.</p>	
--	--------------------------	---	--

**IN THE COURT OF II<sup>nd</sup> ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA**  
**Sanjay Saw @ Sanjay Kumar Vs. State of Bihar**  
**A.B.P. No.-420 of 2026**  
**Babura P. S. Case No. 9/2026**  
**U/s - 135 of Electricity Act**

Ser ial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

	<p><b><u>Conti.....</u></b>  <b>12-03-2026</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Arguments heard and record perused.</p> <p>From the perusal of the record, it transpires that the allegations against the petitioner is that he was involved in electricity theft and caused loss of revenue of Rs. 56,128/- to the South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd. Petitioner is not willing to make the payment of revenue loss caused to the South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd. The allegation against the petitioner is very serious and the investigation is pending.</p> <p>Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, hence the petition so filed on behalf of petitioner is hereby <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Dictated</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Sudhakar Pandey)</b>  II<sup>nd</sup> Addl. Sessions Judge  Bhojpur at Ara  12.03.2026</p>	
--	--	--	--