



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 418 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Naryanpur P.S. Case No. 02 of 2026)**

Ravishankar Pathak and One Anr

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
<b>07.04.2026</b>	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, 1. Ravishankar Pathak and 2. Kashi Nath Pathak, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Narayanpur P.S. Case F.I.R No. 02/2026 under Sections 74, 110, 115(2), 303(2), 351(3), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S., put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Suryanath Tiwari, learned counsel for petitioners as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, the learned P.P. for the State.</p> <p>3. As per the case of the prosecution that on 03.01.2026 at about 7:30 PM when son of the informant namely Dhanjeet Kumar went to purchase some article at the shop of Surya Sah and in the meantime accused Ravi Shankar Pathak started abusing him and assaulted him by iron rod on his head due to which blood oozed out and informant's son fell down and accused Kashinath Pathak instigated to kill the informant. It is alleged that accused Ravi Shankar Pathak snatched golden Mahaviri from</p>	



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 418 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Naryanpur P.S. Case No. 02 of 2026)**

<p><b>Contd.</b> <b>07.04.2026</b></p>	<p>informant's son.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court prior to the present one and petitioners are man of clean antecedent. It is argued that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. There is case and counter case between the parties vide Naryanpur P.S. Case No. 01 of 2026. The petitioners and informant are co-villager and allegation against the them is general and omnibus in nature and petitioner Kashi Nath Sah is aged about 85 years. The petitioners are man of clean antecedent and they undertake to comply with any condition imposed by this court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary, learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners and submitted that petitioners mercilessly beaten the informant and her son, hence, bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard rival submissions of both sides and perused the case record. The allegations against the petitioners that they</p>	
--	--	--



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 418 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Naryanpur P.S. Case No. 02 of 2026)**

**Contd.  
03.02.2026**

assaulted informant and her son. From perusal of injury report of injured Dhanjeet Kumar shows a lacerated wound over right parietal region of skull size 3"x1/2"x1/2" and nature of injury is simple in nature. Perusal of record it shows that the petitioners were given benefit u/s 35(3) and now after completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed against the petitioner. As admitted there is case and counter case between the parties and petitioner Kashi Nath Pathak is senior citizen aged about 85 years. The petitioners are man of clean antecedent and they undertake to comply with any condition as imposed by this court.

7. Considering the nature of allegations, role of the petitioners, after completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed against the petitioners, bail petition of the petitioners 1. Ravishankar Pathak and 2. Kashi Nath Pathak Ram is **allowed** and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 418 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Naryanpur P.S. Case No. 02 of 2026)**

<p><b>Contd.</b> <b>07.04.2026</b></p>	<p>shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p>(Dictated &amp; corrected by me)</p> <p>sd/- ( Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 07.04.2026</p>	