



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 417/2026

(Arising out of Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 19/2026)

Vikash Kumar Paswan @ Vikash Paswan & Ors.

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
10.03.2026	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, 1. Viaksh Kumar Paswan @ Vikash Paswan, 2. 2. Mantu Kumar @ Mantu Paswan, 3. Sunita Devi, 4. Suban Ram @ Suban Paswan, 5. Upendra Kumar @ Upendra Paswan, 6. Pintu Paswan who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 19/2026 u/ss. 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3) of BNS put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Sri Manoranjan Kumar Singh, learned counsel for petitioner, as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state.</p> <p>3. On basis of written complaint filed by informant Sri Bhagwan Ram, the prosecution story is that on 25.01.2026 at 07:00 O'clock evening, all the above named petitioners / accused persons came to the door of informant and started abusing to him and on objection raised by informant, accused Upendra Paswan given blow at the head of informant's son by iron</p>	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 417/2026

(Arising out of Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 19/2026)

<p>Contd. 10.03.2026</p>	<p>rod, Vikash Paswan given blow at the hand of informant by iron rod and assaulted the wife of informant by Danda.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel appearing for petitioners submitted that neither anticipatory nor regular bail is pending or filed on behalf of petitioners before this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble High Court except the present one. Ld. counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence, no occurrence has ever took place as alleged by the prosecution and he present case is counter blast of Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 24/26. It has been further argued that there is inordinate delay in lodging of this FIR and all the alleged injuries are simple in nature and petitioners are ready to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, hence, the bail prayer of petitioners may be allowed.</p> <p>5. Per contra learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that in pre-planned manner accused persons assaulted the informant and his family members and thus this case devoid of merit and the same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard rival submissions of both</p>	
-------------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 417/2026

(Arising out of Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 19/2026)

<p>Contd. 10.03.2026</p>	<p>sides and perused the case record, on perusal of case dairy it transpires that although, the FIR was lodged on 25.01.2026, but, no injury report has been available in the case diary till yet. There is case and counter case in between the parties and all the allegations appeared to be exaggerated. The petitioners are ready to comply with any condition imposed by this Court.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts as discussed above and mainly the fact that there is no material on record to establishing the genuineness of the allegations levelled against the petitioners and as per the material available on record it clearly transpires that there is dispute between both the parties and no injury report mentioned in case dairy till yet after lapse of considerable period of time from lodging of this FIR the bail prayer of petitioners namely 1. Viaksh Kumar Paswan @ Vikash Paswan, 2. 2. Mantu Kumar @ Mantu Paswan, 3. Sunita Devi, 4. Suban Ram @ Suban Paswan, 5. Upendra Kumar @ Upendra Paswan, 6. Pintu Paswan is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions</p>	
-------------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 417/2026

(Arising out of Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 19/2026)

<p>Contd. 10.03.2026</p>	<p>as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p>(Dictated & corrected by me)</p> <p>sd/-</p> <p>(Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 10.03.2026</p>	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA
A.B.P. No. 417/2026
(Arising out of Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 19/2026)