

IN THE COURT OF SRI MANORANJAN KUMAR JHA
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IVth, BHOJPUR AT ARA.
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 415 of 2026
(Arising out of Buxar Rail P.S. Case No. 103 of 2025)
Praveen Yadav @ Jhappu Yadav Vs. The State of Bihar

10.03.2026 The present Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner namely **Praveen Yadav @ Jhappu Yadav** who is apprehending his arrest in the hands of police in connection with **Buxar Rail P.S.** Case No. 103 of 2025 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 61(2), 103(1) and 3(5) of B.N.S.

Heard Sri Awadhesh Tiwari learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri Ram Prasad Ram learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on Bail Petition.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has not moved before this Ld. Court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna earlier for grant of either anticipatory bail or regular bail at any stage in this case.

The main allegation as per F.I.R. is that on 13.04.2025, the petitioner and other co-accused persons took the husband of informant with them and have killed him and thrown his dead body on railway track.

The main submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent has committed no offence and no such occurrence as alleged by the informant did ever take place and no any eye witness to support this case. Further submitted that the Ld. Lower Court taken cognizance on 27.11.2025 against the petitioner without basis of sufficient material.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail petition and submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature, so, he does not deserve to anticipatory bail.

I have heard both the sides and perused the case record along with case diary of this case. From perusal of case record, it transpires that the informant in her re-statement and the witnesses in their respective statements have supported the case of the prosecution

Continued...
10.03.2026

which reflects from para 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 of the C.D. Para 51 of the C.D. is the inquest report of the deceased and the police found injury on the back side of the head of the deceased. Para 55 of the C.D. is postmortem report wherein the doctor found only one injury i.e. "Large lacerated cut injury on occipital part of head 5"X 2"X1" skull bone fracture and brain matteris dozing out. External genitaua is normal. Furthermore, the allegations against the petitioner is serious in nature and bail of the other co-accused has already been rejected by this Court. Moreover, investigation against the petitioner is at initial stage.

Considering the seriousness of the alleged offence appears against the petitioner, I am not inclined to give the privilege of bail to the petitioner, at this stage.

Accordingly, the anticipatory bail prayer of the petitioner **Praveen Yadav @ Jhappu Yadav** is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated and corrected by me

Additional Sessions Judge-IVth,
Bhojpur at Ara.
10.03.2026