



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Anticipatory Bail Petition no. 395 of 2026
(Arising out of Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 349 of 2025)

Chintu Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
11.03.2026	<p>1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Chintu Kumar who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Ara Muffasil P.S. case no. 349/2025 u/s 309 (4) of B.N.S, put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Sri Ashok Kumar Chaudhary, learned counsel for petitioner, as well as Sri Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state.</p> <p>3. As per written report of informant Rambabu Sonkar the case of prosecution is that on 23.12.2025 the informant and his friends were going Chhapra for purchasing the eggs of duck. In the midway at about 01:15 near Narayani Dharamkanta, just after Bampali, Ara at Buxar - Patna Road, three persons boarded on motorcycle waylaid the informant and his friends and on point of fire arm looted five mobile phones, Rs 18,000/-, and locket of gold from informant and his friends.</p> <p>Initially this FIR was lodged against unknown persons, thereafter, police has arrested accused Vishal Kumar, who confessed that he alongwith his</p>	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Anticipatory Bail Petition no. 395 of 2026
(Arising out of Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 349 of 2025)

Contd. 11.03.2026	<p>other companions as well as this petitioner have committed this occurrence and the name of this petitioner cropped up in this case.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has never applied for his BP or ABP before this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble High Court prior to the present one. It is further submitted by Ld. Counsel that petitioner is man of clean antecedent and has committed no offence. The FIR lodged against the unknown persons and petitioner is not arrested on the place of occurrence and nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner. Petitioner is ready to comply any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, bail petition of petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that considering the gravity and nature of the offence and also the fact that investigation is pending against this petitioner, the present bail petition is devoid of merit, hence at this stage, bail petition of petitioner may be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard the rival submissions of both</p>	
------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Anticipatory Bail Petition no. 395 of 2026
(Arising out of Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 349 of 2025)

Contd. 11.03.2026	<p>parties and perused the case record. It is evident from perusal of para 15 of case diary that in reference to this case police has produce all the suspected persons before the informant and his friends to identify the accused persons then, a person namely Vishal Kumar was identified by the informant as accused of the occurrence and later on accused Vishal Kumar stated in his confessional statement that the present petitioner was involved in this occurrence as companion in this occurrence. It is clear from this fact that petitioner is not identified by the informant and his friends as accused when the all suspected perons produced before them for identification by the police. The name of this petitioner cropped in this case only on the basis of confessional statement of accused Vishal Kumar, who has identified as accused by the informant and his friends before the police and the same is hit u/s 22 of BSA, 2023 (corresponding Section 24 of Indian Evidence Act, 1872). Considering all these facts, as well as the fact that the petitioner is a 19 year old young boy against whome there is no evidence except the confessional statement which has hit by section 22 of BSA, the anticipatory bail petition of petitioner Chintu Kumar is allowed and it is</p>	
------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Anticipatory Bail Petition no. 395 of 2026
(Arising out of Ara Muffasil PS Case No. 349 of 2025)

Contd. 11.03.2026	<p>ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 11.03.2026</p>	