



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 394 of 2026**  
**(Arising out of Piro P.S. Case No. 11 of 2026)**

Satyanarayan Singh and two Ors

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
<b>04.04.2026</b>	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, 1. Satynarayan Singh 2. Rajnish Kumar and 3. Manish Kumar, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Piro P.S. Case F.I.R No. 11/2026 under Sections 379 of IPC, put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Aditiya Prasad, learned counsel for petitioners as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, the learned P.P. for the State.</p> <p>3. It is case of the prosecution that on 09.01.2026 at about 6:00 PM when informant was returning after ploughing the agricultural field and in the meantime due to previous dispute all the accused persons named in FIR came there and started abusing the informant and also assaulted him and fled away with tractor bearing registration No. BR-03-GC2927.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioners are man of clean antecedent. It is argued that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have</p>	



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 394 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Piro P.S. Case No. 11 of 2026)**

**Contd.  
04.04.2026**

been falsely implicated in this case. There is land dispute between the parties and alleged tractor is in the possession of the informant. It is also submitted that all the offences are punishable with less than seven years of imprisonment hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners may be allowed.

5. On the contrary, learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners and submitted that petitioners mercilessly beaten the informant, hence, bail petition of petitioners is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.

6. I have heard rival submissions of both sides and perused the case record. This anticipatory bail petition was instituted on 04.02.2026, sufficient number of opportunities given to the prosecution to produce case diary but even after lapse of 42 days/six weeks no case diary has been produced and as per direction given in **Satyendra Kumar Antil Vs. C.B.I. 2023(SC) 233** an anticipatory bail petition has to be disposed of within six weeks, hence, this court is disposing this bail petition without case diary. From perusal of record it shows that all the offences are bailable in nature except Section 303(2) of BNS and all the offences are punishable with less than 7 years of imprisonment and same is squarely covered by law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar**



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 394 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Piro P.S. Case No. 11 of 2026)**

**Contd.  
04.04.2026**

**(2014) 8 SCC 273 and Satendra Kumar Antil Vs. C.B.I 2023 (SC) 233.** As admitted there is land dispute between the parties and petitioners and informant are co-villagers and they undertake to comply with any condition as imposed by this court.

7. Considering all these aspects, instant bail petition of the petitioners 1. Satynarayan Singh 2. Rajnish Kumar and 3. Manish Kumar is **allowed** and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.

(Dictated & corrected by me)

sd/-

( Purushottam Mishra)

Sessions Judge.

04.04.2026



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 394 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Piro P.S. Case No. 11 of 2026)**

--	--	--