



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 319/2026

(arising out of udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No.606 of 2025)

Ramnarayan Ram & Anr.

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

| Date of order or proceeding | Order with signature of the court | Office action taken with date |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 10.03.2026 | <p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, 1.Ramnarayan Ram and 2. Gopal Ram, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Udwantnagar P.S Case FIR No. 606/2025 u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of the B.N.S., put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Bishnudhar Pandey, Learned Counsel for petitioners, and Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the state.</p> <p>3. On the written complaint of informant Jaiprakash Ram one Shemal Ram caused head injury to Jaiprakash Ram and Mintu Kumar and Gopal Ram caused head injury to Josef Kumar Ram and in the course of that</p> | |



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 319/2026

(arising out of udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No.606 of 2025)

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <p>Contd. 10.03.2026</p> | <p>case and counter case was lodged against one another and all accused persons are from same family.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has never applied for B.P or A.B.P before this court or any another court. The petitioner is man of clean antecedent and has been falsely implicated in this case, hence, anticipatory bail of the petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary Ld. Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner, hence, bail petition of petitioner are devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record. It appears from the perusal of record that three persons received injury namely;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yashwant Kumar- body ache in back. 2. Jaiprakash Ram- received lacerated wound | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 319/2026

(arising out of udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No.606 of 2025)

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <p>Contd. 10.03.2026</p> | <p>and swelling on left shoulder and leg.</p> <p>3. Josef Kumar Ram- received injury on the tip of nose that was found simple in nature and swelling and fracture was found trivial in nature.</p> <p>Considering the fact that no grievous injury was found on the vital part of the body, petitioner no. 1 Ramnarayan Ram is non-assailant and man of clean antecedent, hence his bail petition is allowed. Petitioner no. 2 Gopal Ram aged disclosed in bail petition is 62 years but as per voter id card/ Adhar card his date of birth 01.01.1946 and presently his age is more than 80 years, it is undisputed that free fight took place between both the parties in ugly term in which Josef Kumar Ram received grievous injury on the tip of nose that was not vital part of the body.</p> <p>7. Considering the trivial nature of dispute and petitioners are men of clean antecedent, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners, namely, 1.Ramnarayan Ram and 2. Gopal Ram, are allowed and it is ordered that in</p> | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 319/2026

(arising out of udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No.606 of 2025)

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <p>Contd. 10.03.2026</p> | <p>the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) each with one surety of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 10.03.2026</p> | |
| | | |