COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 308/2026

(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case FIR No. 43/26)

Ajay Kumar Singh **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Bihar **Opp. Party**

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
13.03.2026	1. Anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, **Ajay Kumar Singh,** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Ara Nawada P.S. Case FIR No. 43/2026 u/ss. 316(4) and 318(4) of B.N.S., put up for hearing. 2. Heard Arshad Md. Zafar, Learned Counsel for Petitioner as well as the Learned P.P. Shri Rana Pratap Singh for the State. 3. On the written complaint of District Transport Offier, Bhojpur P.S. Case FIR No. 43 of 2026 under Sections 316(4) and 318(4) of B.N.S. lodged against Ajay Kumar Singh (Data Entry Operator) in the office of District Transport Office, Bhojpur for receiving in cash Rs. 69,11,698/- but same was not deposited by accused Ajay Kumar Singh in Treasury of Government of Bihar. It is also stated by District Transport Officer (hereinafter referred to as "DTO") that it was responsibility of accused that after collecting money from customers to	

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 308/2026

(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case FIR No. 43/26)

Cont. 13.03.2026	deposit in Treasury and to report it to District Transport Officer. In this regard notice given to petitioner Ajay Kumar Singh on 09.12.2025 and 02.01.2026 but petitioner not responded to the reply, hence, this FIR was lodged. 4. On behalf of the petitioner, it is submitted that no other bail petition has been filed or is pending either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble Court. It is also submitted that petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. It appears from the perusal of anticipatory bail petition of petitioner that petitioner has merely made ordinarily ground that no such occurrence took place, petitioner is man of clean antecedent, quite innocent and petitioner is ready to cooperate in investigation and there is no chance of absconding and tampering with evidence, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed. 5. On the contrary, today District Transport Officer is present before this Court along with Ld. P.P. and submit several documents that shows from Online Government Receipt Account System under the control of Finance Department, Government of Bihar a statement	

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 308/2026

(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case FIR No. 43/26)

Cont. 13.03.2026	was generated for the period between 09.07.2024 to 31.08.2025 it shows that on 23.07.2024, 26.07.2024, 22.10.2024, 14.12.2024, 05.02.2025, 06.02.2025, 10.02.2025, 07.03.2025, 12.03.2025, 20.03.2025, 27.03.2025, 24.04.2025, 25.04.2025, 07.05.2025, 09.05.2025 and 13.06.2025 respectively fees on behalf of Transport Department collected by petitioner not deposited through Online Government Receipt Account System (hereinafter referred to as "OGRAS") Report and same was detected by Finance Department. These statements shows that between 09.07.2024 to 31.08.2025, 325 transactions completed by petitioner and out of that 16 transactions collected fees on behalf of Transport Department not deposited and it shows that still pending. On the Online Government Receipt Account System (hereinafter referred to as "OGRAS") Report of Finance Department, Government of Bihar and in this case pre-planned manner petitioner defalcated public money on several occasion that has been described above and same has been done in pre-planned manner, hence, to ascertain modus operandi of petitioner custodial interrogation of petitioner is required and prima facie case of defalcation is made out, hence,	

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 308/2026

(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case FIR No. 43/26)

Cont. 13.03.2026	anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard the rival submissions of both parties, perused the case record as well as documents produced by District Transport Office minutely. It appears from the perusal of record that petitioner was Data Entry Operator and responsible for collecting fee and deposit in Treasury and in this regard Finance Department, Government of Bihar issue receipt/status that shows whether successfully collected fees of Transport Department of several Government Department deposited or not. District Transport Office, Bhojpur produced printout taken from Online Government Receipt Account System (hereinafter referred to as "OGRAS") Report, it is clear from the perusal of record that between 09.07.2024 to 31.08.2025 on several occasions vehicle tax and other fees collected by petitioner not deposited in Government Treasury and same is corroborated by OGRAS Report. Petitioner has not disputed that he was not employed as Data Entry Operator or petitioner was not responsible for depositing collected vehicle tax or fee merely stated that petitioner is quite innocent and cooperate in investigation.	

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 308/2026

(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case FIR No. 43/26)

Cont. 13.03.2026	7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case discussed hereinabove, that petitioner not on one occasion but on several occasions defalcated public money in tune of Rs. 69,11,698/-, a prima facie of defalcation is made out and this defalcation of public money was done in pre-planned manner on number of occasions for the period between 09.07.2024 to 31.08.2025 it shows that on 23.07.2024, 26.07.2024, 22.10.2024, 14.12.2024, 05.02.2025, 06.02.2025, 10.02.2025, 07.03.2025, 12.03.2025, 20.03.2025, 27.03.2025, 24.04.2025, 25.04.2025, 07.05.2025, 09.05.2025 and 13.06.2025 respectively (16 times) and it is case in which custodial interrogation of petitioner is required, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner, namely, **Ajay Kumar Singh** is devoid of merit and same is **dismissed**. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 13.03.2026	