

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 265/2026****(arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 21/2026)****Harendra Kumar Singh @ Harendra Prasad Singh & Anr. Petitioners****Versus****State of Bihar****Opp. Party**

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
09.03.2026	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, <b>Harendra Kumar Singh @ Harendra Prasad Singh</b> and <b>Shivnath Singh</b>, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 21/2026 u/ss. 281, 125(a), 125(b), 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S., put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Bishnudhar Pandey, Learned counsel for the Petitioners as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State.</p> <p>3. As per the written report of informant Bikku Kumar the prosecution story is that on 07.01.2026 present petitioners and co-accused Amit Kumar were coming to village in Alto Car. The informant was standing at his door where he dashed by the Alto Car of</p>	

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 265/2026****(arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 21/2026)**

<b>Contd.</b> <b>09.03.2026</b>	<p>the accused and got injury on his head, the accused persons/petitioners started abusing and assaulting the informant with lathi-danda and iron rod. Accused Amit Kumar and Shivnath Singh assaulted the uncle of informant by 'rami' and they also assaulted his cousin brother Sandeep Kumar and Amit Kumar took away Rs. 7,000/- from the pocket of informant. There is case and counter case between both the parties and counterblast being FIR No. 20/2026 and petitioners &amp; co-accused persons are 'next door neighbour/gotia'.</p> <p>4. On behalf of the petitioners, it is submitted that no other bail petition has been filed or is pending either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble Court. It is also submitted that petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioner No. 1 Harendra Kumar Singh @ Harendra Prasad Singh is man of clean antecedent aged about 52 years and physically handicapped person with 70% disability and Petitioner No. 2 is a senior citizen aged about 86 years old. Petitioners</p>	
------------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 265/2026****(arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 21/2026)**

<b>Contd.</b> <b>09.03.2026</b>	<p>are men of clean antecedents, permanent resident of Bhojpur and he is ready to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary, Ld. Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners and submitted that petitioners are also indulge in the alleged offence, hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners are devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard the rival submission of both the parties and perused the case record minutely. It appears from the perusal of record that informant received head injury and as per injury report injury was found simple in nature. Petitioners and informant are next door neighbour/gotiya of one another and it is undisputed fact that general, omnibus, bald and exaggerated allegation made against these petitioners. It also appears from the perusal of record that petitioner No. 1 Harendra Kumar Singh @ Harendra Prasad Singh is man of clean</p>	
------------------------------------	--	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 265/2026****(arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 21/2026)**

<b>Contd. 09.03.2026</b>	<p>antecedent aged about 52 years and physically handicapped person with 70% disability and Petitioner No. 2 is a senior citizen aged about 86 years old. Both the petitioners are men of clean antecedents, permanent resident of Bhojpur and they undertake to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners are allowed.</p> <p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case discussed hereinabove, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners, namely, <b>Harendra Kumar Singh @ Harendra Prasad Singh</b> and <b>Shivnath Singh</b> are <b>allowed</b> and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not,</p>	
------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****A.B.P. No. 265/2026****(arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 21/2026)**

<b>Contd. 09.03.2026</b>	directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.  (Dictated & corrected by me)  Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 09.03.2026	