



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 237 of 2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 20 of 2026)

Dadan Singh and one Anr

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
23.03.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, 1. Dadan Singh and 2. Bikku Kumar, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 20/2026 u/ss. 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 117(2), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S., put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Learned Counsel for petitioners as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State. 3. As per the case of the prosecution that on 07.01.2026, son of the informant namely Amit Kumar was going to his village Budhwal by a four wheeler car and at village Budhwal in front of the house of Vijay Kumar informant's son vehicle hit one Vikku Kumar by looking glass of the Car and due to which accused Vikku Kumar started abusing the informant's and in the meantime accused Dadan Singh, Vikku Kumar, Mithun Kumar, Upendra Kumar, Jhitan Kumar, wife of Upendra Kumar assaulted the son of the informant due to which informant's son	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 237 of 2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 20 of 2026)

Contd. 23.03.2026	received injury on his head and also assaulted with lathi on the injured hand of the informant due to which he got fractured on his hand. 4. Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court. It is argued that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. There is five days delay in lodging the FIR and no explanation has been given by informant. The petitioners and informant are co-villager and there is case and counter case between the parties vide Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 21 of 2026. It is further submitted that no specific overt act has been alleged against the the petitioners and only exaggerated allegation made against them. The petitioners are father and son aged about 57 and 24 years respectively and they undertake to comply with any condition as imposed by this court, hence, bail petition of petitioners may be allowed. 5. On the contrary, Learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition and submitted that petitioners along with other co-accused persons mercilessly beaten the informant and his son and injury report also fully corroborated the	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 237 of 2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 20 of 2026)

Contd. 23.03.2026	allegations against the petitioners, hence, bail petition of the petitioners should be dismissed. 6. I have heard rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record, it appears from the perusal of record that petitioners herein/accused persons and informant are from same village and staying in same neighborhood and due to rash driving by informant this occurrence took place without any premeditation and the occurrence took place on the spur of the moment. The allegation of assault is not specific against the petitioners and injury report of the Amit Kumar is simple in nature and injury report of informant Harender Prasad shows fracture of left forearm. Though, alleged occurrence took place on 07.01.2026 and FIR was lodged on 12.01.2026 after lapse of about five days and no reason for lodging delay in FIR has been given. There is case and counter case between the parties vide Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 21 of 2026. As per para-18 of the case diary the petitioners are also accused in three other cases. Though, petitioners/accused persons and informant are from same village, in extending delay of five days in lodging FIR cause doubt on the case of the prosecution. The petitioners and father and son respectively aged about 58 and 24	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 237 of 2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 20 of 2026)

Contd. 23.03.2026	years and they undertake to comply with any condition imposed by this court. 7. Considering the fact that no specific overt act has been assigned against the petitioners, there is five days delay in lodging the FIR, bail petition of petitioners, namely, 1. Dadan Singh and 2. Bikku Kumar is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 23.03.2026	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 237 of 2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. Case FIR No. 20 of 2026)

--	--	--