



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

**A.B.P. No. 187/2026
(arising out of Udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 16/2026)**

Prakash Kumar & Anr.

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
26.03.2026	<p>This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners namely; 1. Prakash Kumar and 2. Vikash Kumar, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 16/2026, under Section(s) 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 303(2), 3(5) of B.N.S., put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Chaitanya Raj, Learned Counsel for petitioners as well as Shri. Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state.</p> <p>3. As per the case of prosecution that on 05.01.2026 at about 30:00 P.M. informant and his cousin brother were eating samosa on Asani</p>	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

**A.B.P. No. 187/2026
(arising out of Udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 16/2026)**

<p>Contd. 26.03.2026</p>	<p>Bazar, Udwantnagar, their bike standing in front of that shop, in the meantime petitioner no. 1 and petitioner no. 2 came with tractor and pushed informant's bike and when they opposed petitioners started abusing and petitioner no. 2 assaulted with iron rod to informant and caused head injury and snatched golden chain of informant's cousin brother.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either in this Session Division or before the Hon'ble High Court. Petitioner no. 1 has a criminal antecedent and Petitioner no. 2 has clean antecedent, injury report of informant Pavan Kumar was found simple in nature. Petitioners are young boy aged about 22 and 23 years respectively and have been falsely implicated in this case, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners may be allowed.</p>	
------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

**A.B.P. No. 187/2026
(arising out of Udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 16/2026)**

<p>Contd. 26.03.2026</p>	<p>5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and submitted that there is specific allegation of causing head injury against petitioner no. 2 hence, anticipatory bail petition at this stage devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard rival submissions of both parties and perused the case record. It appears from the perusal of record that injury found on informant was simple in nature. There is exaggerated allegation against the petitioners. There is no chance of tampering evidence or influencing witness. Considering the fact that petitioner are young boy aged about 22 and 23 years respectively have been falsely implicated in this case, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners 1. Prakash Kumar and 2. Vikash Kumar are allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the</p>	
------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

**A.B.P. No. 187/2026
(arising out of Udwantnagar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 16/2026)**

Contd. 26.03.2026	<p>satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. with further condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p>(Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 26.03.2026</p>	