

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- 3rd NAUGACHIA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 80/2026
Arising out of Bihpur P.S. Case No. 229/2022

1. Gopal Sangahi @ Gopal Kumar, aged about 28 years
 2. Ravi Raj Sangahi @ Ravindra Kumar Sangahi, aged about 33 years
 3. Gulshan Sangahi @ Gulshan Kumar, aged about 20 years S/o Mantu Sangahi
 4. Gaurav Sangahi @ Gourav Kumar Sangahi, aged about 31 years S/o Bhrigunandan Sangahi
 5. Sourav Sangahi @ Sourav Kumar, aged about 30 years S/o Bhrigunandan Sangahi
- All R/o - Village - Amarpur, P.S. - Bihpur, Dist. - Bhagalpur

----- Petitioners
VRS.

The State of Bihar ----- Opposite Party

ORDER

19-03-2026

The petitioner aforementioned in apprehension of their arrest have filed an application seeking protection from arrest in Bihpur P.S. Case No. 229/2022 in which they are accused. This case was registered under Sections 147, 307, 323, 341, 504, 506 I.P.C. against them. Copy of petition has already been served upon the Ld. Addl.P.P.

2. Heard Ld. Counsel for the petitioners and Sri P.N. Sah Ld. Addl. P.P. for State.

3. The grounds under which the petitioners have made their prayer that there is no type of bail petition either earlier file nor pending before Hon'ble High Court, Patna nor gracious court and the petitioner has no criminal history. It is further submitted that the petitioner is quite innocent and he has committed no offence. It is also submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implication in this case due to village politics and from perusal of injury report of Jitendra Sangahi which are simple in nature caused by H.B.S. It is also stated that from perusal of F.I.R. it would be appeared that assaulted not mentioned in F.I.R. who have assaulted him this is case of omnibus allegation not for specific and all alleged allegations are bailable except Section 307 I.P.C. which is not applicable against any one because injury is simple and there is land dispute between the parties because both parties are gotiya and the petitioners are men of means and there are no chance of their absconding.

4. The Ld. Addl.P.P. has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners.

5. As per factual matrix of the case the allegation of informant, namely, Jitendra Sangahi is that on 06-05-2022 at about 08:30 A.M. the informant along with his father were set at the door. Thereafter, Litchi merchant, namely, Lakhan Chaudhary who had purchased the Litchi of the informant came and gave Rs. 1,00,000/-. After some time, accused persons, namely, Rahul Kumar, Panchanand Sangahi, Bhrigunandan Sangahi, Ramanuj Sangahi, Shambhu Sangahi and family members of accused persons reached there and started unplugging bamboo tatti of the informant and when the informant opposed for the same, accused persons, namely, Gopal Sangahi, Raviraj Sangahi, Gulshan Sangahi, Gariv Sangahi, Saurav Sangahi came armed with iron rods and started assaulting the informant. Gopal Sangahi assaulted the

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT AND ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- 3rd NAUGACHIA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 80/2026
Arising out of Bihpur P.S. Case No. 229/2022

informant by means of iron rods on his head due to which the informant sustained injury over his head. Raviraj assaulted the informant by means of iron khanti due to which the informant sustained injury over his hand and informant fallen down and blood started oozing out. Accused persons snatched Rs. 1,00,000/- from possession of father of the informant. On raising alarm, family members and nearby persons reached there then accused persons fled away. It is further alleged that accused persons wants to construct way on land of the informant with wrong intention and when the informant oppose for the same accused persons committed such offence with intention to kill the informant.

6. On the basis of written application of the informant, namely, Jitendra Sangahi Bihpur P.S. Case No. 229/2022 dated 06-05-2022 under Sections 147, 307, 323, 341, 504, 506 I.P.C. was registered.

7. As directed case diary of this case has been produced. Perused the same. It transpires from perusal of case record charge-sheet has been submitted against all accused persons and as per case diary para 28 injury report of the informant and the injury is simple in nature. It also transpires that there is no criminal history of the petitioners and land dispute between both parties.

8. Regard being had to the aforementioned discussions, it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender of the petitioners whichever is earlier, within four weeks of communication of this order to the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-1st, Naugachia, let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing two sureties of rupees fifteen thousand (15,000 x 2) subject to condition that surety shall be one close relative of the petitioners and petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below if the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses in that case the prosecution will be liberty to move for cancellation of bail. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above mentioned conditions fulfilled by the petitioners as laid down all to the satisfaction of the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-1st, Naugachia.

Nity Kumar
19/03/26
District & Additional Sessions Judge-3rd,
Naugachia, Bhagalpur
Dated 19-03-2026