

**IN THE COURT OF SRI SURESH KUMAR SRIVASTAVA DISTRICT &
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- III , BHAGALPUR.**

Bail Application No. 783/2026
(Arising out of Jogsar P.S. Case No. 98/2026)
U/s 126(2), 115(2), 137(2), 308(4), 352, 351(3), 3(5) B.N.S.

Page-1

In the matter of:

Suraj Kumar @ Suraj Yadav, Aged 22 years, S/o Anand Kumar, R/o Mohalla- Hanuman Mandir, Mundichak, P.S. Tilkamanjhi, District- Bhagalpur-----**Petitioner.**

Versus

The State of Bihar-----**Respondent.**

Appearance:

For the Petitioner: Mr. Purushottam Kumar, Ld. Advocate.

For the State: Mr. Kashi Nath Mishra, Ld. A.P.P.

ORDER

Sl No.	Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with Signature of the Court	Office action taken with Date
1	2	3	4
	10-07-2026	1. This application for regular bail u/s 483 of the BNSS is filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Suraj Kumar @ Suraj Yadav, who is in judicial custody since 13.05.2026 in connection with Jogsar P.S. Case No. 98/2026 for the offence punishable u/s 126(2), 115(2), 137(2), 308(4), 352, 351(3), 3(5) B.N.S. 2. On behalf of the petitioner a certificate has been given at para 2 of the bail petition that earlier no bail application in any form has been filed either before the Sessions Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Petitioner has also mentioned in para 3 of the bail petition that he has one criminal antecedent in Kotwali P.S. Case No. 22/26. 3. Heard, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. 4. Brief fact of the case is that the informant Pramod Kumar Singh has filed FIR alleging that on 11.05.2026 at around 05:00 o'clock he was at his tea shop, when at about 06:00 o'clock in the evening, his son Abhishek Kumar called him on his mobile No. 9097314130 from his mobile No. 6200639998 crying that Santosh Yadav @ Garu Yadav @ Chhotu Yadav and Suraj Kumar have kidnapped him and brought him to the Diyara and they are beating him and demanding Rs. 3,50,000/-. It is further alleged that the reason of the incident is that about eight months ago in September 2025, Sunny Kumar had	

**IN THE COURT OF SRI SURESH KUMAR SRIVASTAVA DISTRICT &
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- III , BHAGALPUR.**

Bail Application No. 783/2026

(Arising out of Jogsar P.S. Case No. 98/2026)

U/s 126(2), 115(2), 137(2), 308(4), 352, 351(3), 3(5) B.N.S.

Page-2

10-07-2026	taken Rs. 3,50,000/- from his son Abhishek Kumar to buy a vehicle and before taking the money from his son, Sunny Kumar had taken Rs. 7,00,000/- from Santosh Yadav @ Garu Yadav @ Chhotu Yadav in the name of buying a vehicle, then Sunny Kumar bought a Scorpio. Meanwhile, Santosh Yadav @ Garu Yadav @ Chhotu Yadav and Sunny Kumar forcibly got his son to sign on a blank stamp paper and since then Santosh Yadav @ Garu Yadav @ Chhotu Yadav used to demand money from his son regularly otherwise he will kill him and many times he used to come to his home in drunken state and abuse him and his family members and demand money, whereas Sunny Yadav had taken Santosh Yadav's money and for this reason today Santosh Yadav and Suraj Kumar called his son Abhishek Kumar to Adampur Chowk and from there forcibly took him towards Diyara on a motorcycle and beaten him and demanding Rs.3,50,000/- else he will be killed. 5. The Ld. counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case with the help and support of informant's son-in-law Rajiv Ranjan who is Sub-Inspector posted in Khagariya P.S. It was further argued that other co-accused Santosh Yadav @ Garu Yadav is enlarged on bail vide B.P. No. 659/2026 on 04.07.2026. It was further argued that section 308(4) BNS is not applicable against the petitioner, hence, petitioner deserves bail. 6. On the other hand, the Ld. A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail. 7. Perused the case diary and record. From perusal of the the case diary, it appears that the incident took place due to monetary dispute between the accused persons and the informant. Petitioner has fairly mentioned in para 3 of the bail petition that he has one criminal antecedent in past. Other co-accused Santosh Yadav @ Garu Yadav is enlarged on bail vide B.P. No. 659/2026 on 04.07.2026. The petitioner has remained in custody since 13.05.2026. The petitioner is further ready to abide by all the terms and conditions as may be imposed for grant of bail. 8. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances and the incarceration	
------------	--	--

**IN THE COURT OF SRI SURESH KUMAR SRIVASTAVA DISTRICT &
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- III , BHAGALPUR.**

Bail Application No. 783/2026

(Arising out of Jogsar P.S. Case No. 98/2026)

U/s 126(2), 115(2), 137(2), 308(4), 352, 351(3), 3(5) B.N.S.

Page-3

10-07-2026

period, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby allowed.

It is ordered that the petitioner be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. trial Court.

9. Any observation made herein above is not an opinion on the merits and the same is only for the purpose of the instant bail petition.

Sd/-

District & Additional Sessions Judge III
Bhagalpur.

Date of Judgment/order	10.07.2026
Date of reserving Judgment/order	N.A.
Uploading Date	
Uploaded By	