

ORDER

1. Hriday Yadav , 81 years, S/o Late Kameshwar Yadav
2. Prabhu Yadav, 37 years, S/o Hriday Yadav
3. Shambhu Yadav, 39 years, S/o Hriday Yadav
4. Kartik Yadav, 32 years, S/o Hriday Yadav
5. Pramila Devi, 61 years, S/o Hriday Yadav
6. Baby Devi, 30 years, W/o Shambhu Yadav
7. Anju Kumari, 25 years, W/o Prabhu Yadav
8. Doli Kumari, 28 years, W/o Kartik Yadav

.....Petitioners.

Vs

State of Bihar.....O.P.

ORDER

12.06.2026

An application for grant of anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely **1. Hriday Yadav 2. Prabhu Yadav 3. Shambhu Yadav 4. Kartik Yadav 5. Pramila Devi 6. Baby Devi 7. Anju Kumari and 8. Doli Kumari**, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with **Gogha P.S. Case No. 76/2026** relating to offences punishable u/Ss 191(2), 190,126(2),115(2),118(1),109,74,303(2),352,351(2) of B.N.S, pending in the learned Court of A.C.J.M-II, Bhagalpur, is put up and moved.

Heard Mr. Bijay Kumar Madhukar, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Mr. P.P. Singh, learned Addl. P.P. for the State.

The prosecution case, in short, is that on 26-04-2026 at about 09:30 am a Panchaiti was being held at the house of informant in respect of dispute relating to his land in which panches equally distributed the land amongst the five brothers including the informant herein and though informant and his three brothers agreed but accused petitioner Hriday Yadav, who happens to be one of the five brothers of informant, made protest against the decision of the panches and refused to give share to informant on the ground that informant has only one female child and no male child. On protest, accused petitioner Hriday Yadav and other accused persons assaulted him and when informant's wife Rita Devi intervened, she too was assaulted. It is further alleged that accused persons also assaulted informant's daughter and wife and when Sudhir Yadav and Ajit Yadav intervened, they were also assaulted by means of khanti and iron rod causing bleeding injuries. There is further allegation against accused Hriday Yadav of snatching a silver chain from informant's wife.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, apart from taking the pleas of innocence and false implication, submitted that earlier accused petitioner no. 01

Hriday Yadav had lodged an F.I.R. vide Ghogha P.S. case no. 77/2026 against informant and others and present case has been filed to counterblast the same. He further submitted that accused petitioners themselves had sustained injuries and prayed to extend the privileges of anticipatory bail to all these petitioners on the ground of absence of criminal antecedent.

On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard both sides and perused the case record. The accused petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and carry allegation of brutally assaulting the informant and his family members as well as two outsiders with further allegation of snatching of silver chain against accused petitioner no. 01 Hriday Yadav. The up to date case diary mentions injury reports of only two injured persons namely Sudhir Yadav and Ajit Kumar. The injury report of Sudhir Yadav would reveal that doctor has found one lacerated wound of size 2x0.5x0.5 cm on frontal scalp and another lacerated wound of size 0.5cm x 0.5 cm over occipital scalp which have been opined as simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. Similarly, doctor has found one abrasion over left knee, one abrasion over left ring finger and one lacerated wound of size 1x0.5x0.5cm over scalp and these injuries have also been opined as simple in nature caused by hard and blunt object. Though informant and his family members also alleged to have sustained injuries but neither there is injury report nor there is any whisper in this respect in the case diary. There is case and counter case between the parties and from perusal documents filed on behalf of petitioners it appears that accused petitioners Hriday Yadav, Prabhu Yadav, Shambhu Yadav and Kartik Yadav have also sustained injuries in counter case i.e. Ghogha P.S. case no. 77/2026. Petitioner no. 01 happens to be the full brother of informant and other petitioners are also close relatives of the informant. Further, para 15 of the case diary gives clean chit to all the petitioners in respect of the criminal antecedent. Therefore, taking into account the nature of injuries, close relationship between the parties, case and counter case and absence of criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to extend the privileges of anticipatory bail to these petitioners.

Hence, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail made on behalf of all the accused petitioners namely **1. Hriday Yadav 2. Prabhu Yadav 3. Shambhu Yadav 4. Kartik Yadav 5. Pramila Devi 6. Baby Devi 7. Anju Kumari and 8. Doli Kumari** is hereby

allowed. It is hereby directed that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within 30 days from the receipt of a copy of this order, the accused petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand rupees only) with one surety of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below. Petitioners are directed to file an **undertaking** that they will not misuse the privileges of bail, will cooperate in the trial and are directed to furnish their mobile numbers with direction that neither they will change the mobile numbers nor turn off the mobile without information to the court.

Dictated

sd/-

(Prabhat Krishna)
IVth Addl. Sessions Judge
Bhagalpur

Date of judgment/order	12-06-2026
Date of Reserving judgment/order	-
Uploading Date	12-06-2026
Uploaded by	Stenographer