

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI SURESH KUMAR SRIVASTAVA DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL
SESSIONS JUDGE-III, BHAGALPUR.**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1054/2026
(Arising out of Sajour P.S. Case No. 86/2026)
U/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 3(5) B.N.S.

Page-1

1. Md. Kayum Ansari, Age- 42 years,

2. Sarafat, Age- 47 years,

3. Md. Ekram, Age- 39 years, All three Sons of Md. Alauddin Ansari,

4. Manaki Khatoon, Age- 40 years, Wife of Kayum Ansari, All R/o Village- Radhanagar, P.S. Sajour, District- Bhagalpur.....**Petitioners.**

Versus

The State of Bihar.....Respondent.

Appearance:

For the Petitioners: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Ld. Advocate.

For the State: Mr. D.N.Sahay, Ld. A.P.P.

For the Informant: Mr. Md. Sharik Manzoor, Ld. Advocate.

ORDER

Sl No.	Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with Signature of the Court	Office action taken with Date
1	2	3	4
	07.07.2026	1. This application for anticipatory bail u/s 482 B.N.S.S. filed on behalf of the above named petitioners, who apprehend their arrest in connection with Sajour P.S. Case No. 86/2026 for the offence punishable u/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 3(5) B.N.S. 2. Petitioners have given certificate in para 2 of the bail application that earlier no application for regular or anticipatory bail has been filed either before the Sessions Court, Bhagalpur or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Petitioners have also stated in para 3 of the bail petition that they have no criminal antecedent. 3. Heard, the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned A.P.P. for the State duly assisted by Ld. Counsel for the informant. 4. Brief facts of the case is that the informant Md. Shahab Ansari has filed FIR alleging that on 12.05.2026 at around 08:00 o'clock in the night her own brothers Kayum Ansari, Md. Sarafat, Md. Ekram, Manki Khatoon have beaten her. It is further alleged that all of them came with Lathi and rod in their hands, abusing him and said that you will have to be broken the bathroom and will provide passage to them and when he protested, Kaiyum assaulted with rod with intention to kill him, due to which his head was fracture. It is further alleged that Sarafat ordered to kill him, due to which he fell down and when his wife Nargish Khatoon came for rescue, Ekram and Manki pushed her down. It is further alleged that the accused persons come after drinking toddy and create ruckus everyday, saying give them passage or else we will kill you.	

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI SURESH KUMAR SRIVASTAVA DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL
SESSIONS JUDGE-III, BHAGALPUR.**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1054/2026
(Arising out of Sajour P.S. Case No. 86/2026)
U/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 3(5) B.N.S.

Page-2

07.07.2026
Contd.,P/2

- 5.** Ld. counsel for the petitioners argued that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case. It was further argued that the FIR is a false story to make this case against the petitioners. It was further argued that the real fact is that informant and petitioner Kayum Ansari are own brothers and due to the dispute regarding ancestral land both parties have exchanged the hot talk only and petitioners have never assaulted and abused them. It was further argued that all the offences are not at all applicable against the petitioners, hence, petitioners deserve anticipatory bail.
- 6.** On the other hand, the Ld. A.P.P. duly assisted by Ld. Counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.
- 7.** Perused the case diary and record. The FIR has been registered u/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 3(5) B.N.S. Injury is reported to be simple in nature. In para 26 of the case diary the petitioners have been given privilege u/s 35(3) B.N.S.S. by the I.O. Petitioners have fairly mentioned in para 3 of the bail petition that they do not have any criminal antecedent. All the alleged offences are punishable with imprisonment of less than 07 years.
- 8.** Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that petitioners have been given privilege u/s 35(3) B.N.S.S. by the I.O, the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners is **disposed of**. The petitioners are hereby directed to seek regular bail. Ld. concerned Court is directed to pass an order in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.
- 9.** Any observation made herein above is not an opinion on the merits and the same is only for the purpose of instant bail petition.

Sd/-

District & Additional Sessions Judge III
Bhagalpur.

Date of Judgment/order	07.07.2026
Date of reserving Judgment/order	N.A.
Uploading Date	08.07.2026
Uploaded By	Stenographer