

Pankaj Yadav @ Pankaj Kumar, 35 years, s/o Buddhu YadavPetitioner.

Vs

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party

ORDER

15-06-2026 An application for grant of regular bail filed on behalf of above named accused petitioner namely **Pankaj Yadav @ Pankaj Kumar**, who is in custody since 30-03-2026 in connection with **Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 58/2026** registered u/Ss 111, 310(5), 313, 61(2) of the B.N.S. as well as u/Ss 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 of the Arms Act pending before the learned Court of C.J.M., Bhagalpur, is put up for hearing.

Heard Mr. Rahul Deo Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. B.K. Sah, learned Addl. P.P. for the State.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that in the evening of 29-03-2025 the informant, Sub Inspector of Police, along with other police personnel, acting on a tip off, conducted raid in the hut of accused Hira Yadav and apprehended two accused persons namely Pankaj Yadav (petitioner) and Anshu Kumar (co-accused) whereas accused Hira Yadav managed to escape and seized one countrymade katta, seven live cartridges as well as D.V.R. of C.C.T.V. camera from the hut. Police also recovered one mobile from apprehended accused Pankaj Yadav. On interrogation, accused Pankaj Yadav disclosed that they along with Hira Yadav, Dayanand Yadav and Kaushal Tiwari had been planning to commit loot and dacoity and accused Dayanand Yadav and Kaushal Tiwari were scheduled to take part in the meeting in the house of accused Hira Yadav. The police, on the disclosure of apprehended accused, conducted raid in the two-storey building of Hira Yadav and seized one countrymade katta, six live cartridges and one misfired cartridge.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, apart from taking the pleas of innocence and false implication, submitted that petitioner bears altogether seven criminal cases but is on bail in all those cases. He further submitted that informant had brutally beaten one Kanhaiya Yadav in which accused petitioner Pankaj Yadav and Hira Yadav had helped him in his medical treatment and said Kanhaiya Yadav filed a complaint case against the informant. Further, Nandini Kumari, wife of accused Hira Yadav, has also filed a complaint case against the informant who had threatened to teach them a lesson regarding which accused petitioner Pankaj Yadav and Hira Yadav had already informed to higher authorities in writing. He lastly submitted that the informant deliberately removed the C.C.T.V. camera from the hut belonging to Hira Yadav and prayed to

enlarge him on bail on the ground of period of custody.

On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

Heard both sides and perused the record from which it transpires that petitioner and four others are named in the F.I.R. and carry allegation of possessing arms and ammunition and making preparation for committing offences of loot and dacoity. This petitioner along with one Anshu Kumar was arrested by the police when they attempted to flee away from the hut belonging to accused Hira Yadav where police had conducted raid. Police recovered arms and ammunition in huge quantity in which two countrymade katta as well as eleven cartridges have been found to be effective, live and in working condition in the test report and sanction for prosecution has also been granted by the competent authority. Moreover, petitioner bears altogether seven criminal cases. Therefore, taking into account the nature and gravity of the offence as well as bad criminal antecedent, this Court is not inclined to enlarge this petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for grant of regular bail made on behalf of accused petitioner namely **Pankaj Yadav @ Pankaj Kumar** is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

Sd/-

(Prabhat Krishna)

IVth Addl. Sessions Judge
Bhagalpur

Date of judgment/order	15-06-2026
Date of Reserving judgment/order	
Uploading Date	15-06-2026
Uploaded by	STENOGRAPHER