

**IN THE COURT OF SRI SURESH KUMAR SRIVASTAVA DISTRICT &
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- III , BHAGALPUR.**

Bail Application No. 483/2026

(Arising out of Lalmatia P.S. Case No. 38/2026)

U/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 74, 109, 352, 351(2), 303(2), 3(5) B.N.S.

Page-1

In the matter of:

Subodh Rajak, Aged 45 years, S/o Late Saryug Rajak, R/o Village- Bind Toli, P.S. Lalmatia, Distirct- Bhagalpur-----**Petitioner.**

Versus

The State of Bihar-----**Respondent.**

Appearance:

For the Petitioner: Mr. Vikash Kumar, Ld. Advocate.

For the State: Mr. Abhay Kumar Singh, Ld. A.P.P.

ORDER

Sl No.	Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with Signature of the Court	Office action taken with Date
1	2	3	4
	26-05-2026	1. This application for regular bail u/s 483 of the BNSS is filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Subodh Rajak, who is in judicial custody since 21.04.2026 in connection with Lalmatia P.S. Case No. 38/2026 for the offence punishable u/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 74, 109, 352, 351(2), 303(2), 3(5) B.N.S. 2. On behalf of the petitioner a certificate has been given at para 2 of the bail petition that earlier no bail application in any form has been filed either before the Sessions Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Petitioner has also submitted in para 3 of the bail petition that he has one criminal antecedent in past. 3. Heard, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. 4. Brief fact of the case is that the informant Suma Devi has filed FIR alleging that on 19.04.2026 at around 9 o'clock in the morning Subodh Rajak came at her door and started abusing over some issue or dispute, when she asked the reason, he said that today I will disgrace you in the public domain, then he pulled her Sari and make her semi naked, when there was a commotion, Subodh Rajak's sons Om Kumar and Niraj Rajak also reached there and all of them together started fighting with her son Sanjiv Kumar Rajak and grandson Chandan Kumar. It is further alleged that Om Rajak attacked Sanjiv with Dabiya, due to which he was bled, then Niraj Rajak also injured Chandan by a Punch and in the same sequence Subodh Rajak took out country made pistol from his waist and threatened to kill her. After all this, Chandan and Sanjiv fainted and Niraj snatched away golden chain and cash of Rs.5000/-. She further	

**IN THE COURT OF SRI SURESH KUMAR SRIVASTAVA DISTRICT &
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- III , BHAGALPUR.**

Bail Application No. 483/2026

(Arising out of Lalmatia P.S. Case No. 38/2026)

U/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 74, 109, 352, 351(2), 303(2), 3(5) B.N.S.

Page-2

26-05-2026	alleged that her right hand was broken in this incident. 5. The Ld. counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case due to conspiracy. It was further argued that entire allegations are baseless and none of the sections are made out against the petitioner. It was further argued that except section 74, 109, 303(2) BNS other sections are bailable. It was further argued that there is case and counter case between both the parties. It was further argued that accused and informant are relatives and informant is aunt of the accused and there is land dispute between them. It was further argued that informant wants to grab the share of accused and when it was protested, this false case has been lodged against the petitioner, hence, petitioner deserves bail. 6. On the other hand, the Ld. A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail. 7. Perused the case diary and record. There is no allegation of assault on the petitioner. The injury is reported to be simple in nature. The accused-petitioner has remained in custody since 21.04.2026. The petitioner is further ready to abide by all the terms and conditions as may be imposed for grant of bail. 8. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances and the incarceration period, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby allowed. It is ordered that the petitioner be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court and subject to the condition laid down in section 437(3) Cr.P.C. 9. Any observation made herein above is not an opinion on the merits and the same is only for the purpose of the instant bail petition. Sd/- District & Additional Sessions Judge III Bhagalpur. <table><tr><td>Date of Judgment/order</td><td>26.05.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Date of reserving Judgment/order</td><td>N.A.</td></tr><tr><td>Uploading Date</td><td>27.05.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Uploaded By</td><td>Stenographer</td></tr></table>	Date of Judgment/order	26.05.2026	Date of reserving Judgment/order	N.A.	Uploading Date	27.05.2026	Uploaded By	Stenographer	
Date of Judgment/order	26.05.2026									
Date of reserving Judgment/order	N.A.									
Uploading Date	27.05.2026									
Uploaded By	Stenographer									

**IN THE COURT OF SRI SURESH KUMAR SRIVASTAVA DISTRICT &
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- III , BHAGALPUR.**

Bail Application No. 483/2026

(Arising out of Lalmatia P.S. Case No. 38/2026)

U/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 74, 109, 352, 351(2), 303(2), 3(5) B.N.S.

Page-3