

IN THE COURT OF SRI RAJ NARAYAN NIGAM, DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I,
BHAGALPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 866/2026
(Arising out of Madhusudanpur P.S. Case No. 16/2026)

U/s 87, 3(5) BNS.

Ranju Devi & Ors. Versus The State of Bihar

- 1. Ranju Devi, aged about 42 yrs, W/o Dinesh Mandal**
 - 2. Amarjeet Kumar, aged about 21 yrs, S/o Dinesh Mandal**
 - 3. Dinesh Mandal @ Jhappu Mandal, aged about 454 yrs, S/o Prasadi Mandal**
- All R/o- Kanjhiya, P.S- Madhusudanpur, District-Bhaglapur, Bihar.**

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

For the Petitioners

: Mr. Prabhakar Kr. Singh, Advocate.

For the State

: Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Additional P.P.

order or proceeding	Date of Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3
15-06-2026	The petitioners namely Ranju Devi, Amarjeet Kumar and Dinesh Mandal @ Jhappu Mandal apprehends their arrest in connection with Madhusudanpur P.S. Case No. 16/2026 registered under Sections 87 BNS. On behalf of the petitioners a certificate has been given in para 2 of the bail petition that the petitioners has not filed any bail petition in any form on previous occasion either in the court of Learned Sessions Judge, Bhagalpur or before the Hon'ble High Court Patna. Further Petitioners bears clean antecedent as per para 3 of the bail petition. Prosecution case in brief as per written application of the informant Baby Devi is that on dt. 17-01-2026 her daughter Neha Kumari went from somewhere from her house without informing anyone. After searching for her everywhere they got to know that one Amanjeet Kumar @ Chotu has abducted her daughter. When they went to the house of Amanjeet Kumar @ Chotu to know about her daughter, then Dinesh Mandal father of Amanjeet Kumar, brother Amarjeet Kumar and mother Ranju Devi abused them and also threatened them that Amanjeet Kumar @ Chotu had already married informant's daughter and now they cannot do anything. Hence, the instant FIR. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are quiet innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted allegation against the petitioner is only regarding abusing informant and allegation U/s 87 BNS do not attract against them as they not involved in abduction of victim. On these grounds, both the petitioners prays for grant of anticipatory bail. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. Heard both sides, perused the case dairy. From the perusal of case dairy it appears that para 2 of the case dairy is the re-statement of informant wherein she reiterated the	

IN THE COURT OF SRI RAJ NARAYAN NIGAM, DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I,
BHAGALPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 866/2026
(Arising out of Madhusudanpur P.S. Case No. 16/2026)

U/s 87, 3(5) BNS.

Ranju Devi & Ors. Versus The State of Bihar

15-06-2026
Contd.P/2

prosecution story. Para 6 & 7 of Case Dairy are the statement of witnesses and they have also fully supported the prosecution version. Moreover, victim(informant's daughter) has not yet been recovered and investigation is still pending against the petitioners.

Keeping in view the nature of the offence and victim has not yet been recovered, this Court of the view that petitioners does not deserve for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above-named petitioners is hereby **Rejected**.

SD/-

District & Additional Sessions Judge I
Bhagalpur.