



Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge XVI, Bhagalpur.

Present- Sandeep Singh

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 743/2026

Arising out of Sultanganj P.S. Case No. 317/2025

U/S 126(2), 115(2), 109, 76 of B.N.S.

1. Saurav Kumar @ Rishav Kumar 2. Karan Kumar
3. Rishabh Kumar

V/s
State

Date	Order	Remarks								
05.05.2026	(1) This record is received on transfer. Record is put up for hearing on anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of petitioners viz. 1- Saurav Kumar @ Rishav Kumar, aged about 21 years, son of Vinay Yadav @ Vijay Yadav, 2-Karan Kumar, aged about 21 years, son of Arvind Kumar Yadav, 3. Rishabh Kumar aged about 18 years, son of Bijay Yadav correct name S/o Arvind Yadav, all resident of village-Nawada, P.S. Sultanganj, District-Bhagalpur, petitioner no. 2 and 3 present address of village-Singhiya, P.S.-Safiyabad, District-Munger, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sultanganj P.S. Case No. 317/2025 registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 109, 76 of B.N.S. (2) Heard Sri Mukesh Sahay Id. Counsel for petitioners and Sri P.C. Rahi Id. APP for the State. (3) Upon hearing and perusal of the case record, it transpires that the victim in this case is a minor girl aged about 15 years. This fact has not been disputed by the petitioners. As per the written report, petitioner no.3 allegedly attempted to ravage the modesty of informant's daughter. The FIR contains serious allegations attracting provisions of the B.N.S., 2023, including offences punishable under Sections 76 of B.N.S. which relates to sexual offences alleged to have been committed against a child. (4) In view of the nature of the allegations and the age of the victim, it is apparent that the case involves allegations attracting the provisions of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012. As such, the case is triable by the Id. Special POCSO Court. (5) In light of the above, this Court lacks jurisdiction to entertain the instant anticipatory bail petition. Accordingly, the same is held to be not maintainable before this Court. (6) The petition is thus dismissed as not maintainable. However, the petitioners are at liberty to seek appropriate relief before the competent court in accordance with law. (7) Accordingly, with this finding the instant anticipatory bail petition is, hereby, disposed of. (8) Let a copy of this order be sent at once to the Id. Trial Court for compliance and needful. (Dictated) sd (Sandeep Singh) D.A.S.J., XVI, Bhagalpur 05.05.2026 <table><tr><td>Date of Judgment/Order</td><td>05.05.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Date of reserving Judgment/Order</td><td>05.05.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Uploading Date</td><td>05.05.2026</td></tr><tr><td>Uploaded by</td><td>Vipin Kumar</td></tr></table>	Date of Judgment/Order	05.05.2026	Date of reserving Judgment/Order	05.05.2026	Uploading Date	05.05.2026	Uploaded by	Vipin Kumar	
Date of Judgment/Order	05.05.2026									
Date of reserving Judgment/Order	05.05.2026									
Uploading Date	05.05.2026									
Uploaded by	Vipin Kumar									