

IN THE COURT OF SRI DEEPAK KUMAR-I, ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- II, BHAGALPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 701/2026

(Arising out of **Lodipur P.S. Case No. 55/2026**)

U/s 329 (1), 308 (3), 111 (3), 352, 351 (3) BNS.

Dipak Singh, age 67 years, S/o Late Ramdas Singh, R/o Vishanpur Jichho, P.S. Lodipur, District Bhagalpur

.....**Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....**Opp. Party**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rahul Deo Singh, Ld. Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Ld. A.P.P.

For the Informant : Mr. Dr. Rajiv Kumar Singh, Ld. Advocate.

Date of order	Order with signature of the court
1	2
08-06-2026	This is a petition filed on behalf of the above named accused-petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail who apprehension his arrest in connection with Lodipur P.S. Case No. 55/2026 for offences punishable U/s 329 (1), 308 (3), 111 (3), 352, 351 (3) BNS. On behalf of the petitioner a certificate has been given in bail petition that earlier no anticipatory or regular bail petition has been filed on his behalf either before the Court of the Ld. Principal Sessions Judge, Bhagalpur or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. In para-2 of supplementary bail petition, accused-petitioner has given certificate that he has criminal antecedents bearing Lodipur P.S. Case No. 68/2011, Kotwali P.S. Case No. 522/2018 U/s 135 of Electricity Act, Lodipur P.S. Case No. 18/2023 U/s 379 IPC, Lodipur P.S. Case No. 192/2025 U/s 135 of Electricity Act, Lodipur P.S. Case No. 285/2025, Lodipur P.S. Case No. 297/2025 U/s 318 (4), 338, 336 (3), 308 (2), 303 (2), 324 (4), 3 (5) BNS in which he is on bail. Prosecution case, as per FIR of informant, in short, is that informant has purchased two pieces of lands bearing Khata No. 393, Khesra No. 943 on 18.10.2004 and 26.12.2003 from this accused-petitioner. On 05.08.1987 had been purchased by Ambuja Shelter and Amrita Realities Company and the land remains in the company's full possession to this day, with Jamabandi No. 1643 and 1654. The company signed an MOU for the remaining land in the name of Ran Kaushal Pratap Singh on June, 2023, with an advance deposit made by him in the account of SEBI Sahara. The registration of this land is expected in 2026. However, due to the land's high value, land mafia Dipak Kumar Singh and his henchmen have their eyes set on this valuable land. This accused and his men have demanded Rs. 3 crores in extortion money from him for working on this land. They have stated that it is the impossible to buy, sell, or do any other work on this land without paying the extortion money. I the extortion money is not paid, they threatened to kill. On 15.03.2026 at about 11:00 a.m. Dipak Kumar Singh with riffle and weapons and 15-20 miscreants established pillar on the said land and again demanded the extortion money. On 16.03.2026 at about 11:00 a.m. to 03:00 p.m. 15-20 miscreants having with weapons tried to attempt to seize the land by erecting pillars on the said land. They have

IN THE COURT OF SRI DEEPAK KUMAR-I, ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- II, BHAGALPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 701/2026

(Arising out of **Lodipur P.S. Case No. 55/2026**)

U/s 329 (1), 308 (3), 111 (3), 352, 351 (3) BNS.

Contd...P/2 08-06-2026	also threatened to kill his men namely Anil Kumar Yadav, Pihul Kumar and Saurav Kumar Choudhary. Hence, this FIR is lodged. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that petitioner has been dragged in this case for illegal and malafide intention of the informant and there is no direct or specific allegation against the petitioner and there is Title Suit is pending regarding the said case and alleged sections are not made out against the accused-petitioner and case is nothing but contrived, spurious and flimsy story, fabricated by the informant and there is delay of three days in lodging the FIR and there is no prima facie case is made out against the accused-petitioner. On such basis, it has been prayed that petitioner be enlarged on anticipatory bail. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State duly assisted by the Ld. Counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. Heard both the parties. Heard both sides and perused the record as well as case diary and documents & citations filed by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and on perusal the same it transpires that there is allegation against this accused-petitioner and other miscreants that he has tried to seized the land of informant forcibly and also demanded extortion money of Rs. 3 crores from the informant. Para-2 of the case diary is the restatement of informant who reiterated the prosecution case. Para-5, 6 and 7 of the case diary are the statements of witnesses who have also supported the allegation levelled against the accused-petitioner. Para-12 of the case diary reveals that accused-petitioner has criminal antecedents bearing Lodipur P.S. Case No. 68/2011, Kotwali P.S. Case No. 522/2018 U/s 135 of Electricity Act, Lodipur P.S. Case No. 18/2023 U/s 379 IPC, Lodipur P.S. Case No. 192/2025 U/s 135 of Electricity Act, Lodipur P.S. Case No. 285/2025, Lodipur P.S. Case No. 297/2025 U/s 318 (4), 338, 336 (3), 308 (2), 303 (2), 324 (4), 3 (5) BNS, which shows that petitioner is habitual offender. Further, investigation against this accused-petitioner is still pending. Keeping in view the nature of the offence, complicity & conduct of the accused petitioner, severity of punishment and submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, the allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature. So, I am of the view that applicant/petitioner does not deserve for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby Rejected. Sd/- Additional Sessions Judge-II Bhagalpur
---------------------------	--