

**IN THE COURT OF SRI RAJ NARAYAN NIGAM, DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I,
BHAGALPUR**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 469/2026
(Arising out of Ishipur Barahat P.S. No 18/2026)
U/s 308(2), 308(3), 308(6), 351(2), 3(5) BNS.**

Amarjit Kumar @ Amarjit Poddar Versus The State of Bihar

For the Petitioner : Mr. Subhash Chandra Choudhary, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Additional PP.

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3
28-04-2026	The petitioner namely Amarjit Kumar @ Amarjit Poddar apprehends his arrest in connection with Ishipur Barahat P.S. No 18/2026 registered under Sections 308(2), 308(3), 308(6), 351(2), 3(5) BNS. On behalf of the petitioner a certificate has been given in para 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner had not filed any bail petition in any form on previous occasion either in the court of Learned Sessions Judge, Bhagalpur or before the Hon'ble High Court Patna. Further the petitioner bears one criminal antecedent as per para 3 of the bail petition. The prosecution case as per written application of the informant Wasim Akram is that on 08-02-2026, Devmuni Kumar Mandal from Keval Bharat TV Samachar, Aman Kumar Chaurasia from Sadhna News and Amarjeet Poddar came to informant's shop and threatened to lodge a case against him and forcibly recorded a false statement from him. To stop the broadcast of the said video, they demanded an extortion of Rs. 50,000/- from informant. Due to continuous pressure and blackmail informant gave Rs. 15,000/- in cash and informant's father also gave Rs. 13,000/- through PhonePe on 8051110992. Informant further stated that despite taking the money, the above named persons made video viral and tarnished his reputation. The Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been trapped in this case with malice intention and the whole prosecution story is false and concocted. He further submitted that informant has lodged this case out of grudge and to harass the petitioner. So, the petitioner may be granted anticipatory bail. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the state opposed the anticipatory bail plea. Heard both sides and perused the case record. Heard both sides. Perused the case dairy. Petitioner is named in FIR. Informant in his re-statement, mentioned in para 2 of case dairy have reiterated the prosecution story. Witnesses in para 7 and 8 of case dairy have also supported the prosecution version. Para 26 of case dairy reveals transaction details of State Bank of India bearing Account No. 40524400041 shows that an amount of Rs. 13,000/- has been	

IN THE COURT OF SRI RAJ NARAYAN NIGAM, DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I,
BHAGALPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 469/2026
(Arising out of Ishipur Barahat P.S. No 18/2026)

U/s 308(2), 308(3), 308(6), 351(2), 3(5) BNS.

Amarjit Kumar @ Amarjit Poddar Versus The State of Bihar

28-04-2026 Contd.P/2	credited to Amarjit Poddar through UPI which matches the amount of extortion as mentioned in FIR. Informant also appeared in the court and stated that the accused persons named in FIR including the petitioner have demanded an extortion of Rs. 50,000/- from him and he had given Rs. 15,000/- in cash and informant's father also gave Rs. 13,000/- through UPI on PhonePe on 8051110992. Petitioner also has criminal history. Moreover, investigation is still pending. Considering the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case, gravity of offence, this court is of the opinion that petitioner do not deserve for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby Rejected. SD/- District & Additional Sessions Judge I Bhagalpur.	
-------------------------	--	--