

ORDER

Lalu Ansari @ Md. Lal Muhammad, 27 years, S/o Mahmud Ansari @ Mahboob AnsariPetitioner

Vs

1. State of Bihar

2. Reshma, W/o Lulu AnsariO.Ps.

ORDER

04-07-2026 An application for grant of anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner namely **Lalu Ansari @ Md. Lal Muhammad**, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with **Complaint Case No. 360/2023** relating to offences punishable u/S 498 (A) of the I.P.C. and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, pending in the learned Court of S.D.J.M., Bhagalpur, is put up and moved.

Heard Md. Saiful Islam, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. B.K. Sah, learned Addl. P.P. for the State assisted with Mr. Nawan Kumar, learned counsel for the complainant.

The case of the complainant, in short, is that complainant married accused petitioner Lulu Ansari @ Md. Lal Muhammad in the year 2014 as per Muslim law and they lived happily for six months but thereafter her husband and in-laws started abusing and torturing her and they demanded cash one lakh rupees and a motorcycle as a condition precedent to keep her well. When complainant expressed her inability to meet their demand, the accused persons assaulted and thereafter ousted her from her matrimonial home. Complainant states that she gave birth to a female child at her paternal home and informed to in-laws but they didn't come to meet the baby girl and when parents of the complainant reached at the matrimonial home of the complainant alongwith complainant, they started abusing and assaulting them on which a Panchaiti was convened and accused persons promised to keep her well in token of which a compromise petition was also prepared. It is alleged that after some months the accused persons again started misbehaving with the complainant and they also tried to set her on fire but she was saved with the intervention of locals and though the accused persons promised to keep her well but they didn't mend their ways whereafter complainant made a complaint to the police but to no avail. It is lastly alleged that on 13.12.2019 in-laws assaulted the complainant and ousted her from her matrimonial home and the efforts to settle the

dispute yielded no result.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, apart from taking the pleas of innocence and false implication, submitted that as a matter of fact the petitioner made several attempt to keep his wife with him but complainant likes to live with her parents at her paternal home. He further submitted that petitioner is still ready to keep his wife (complainant) and wants to lead a happy conjugal life but complainant doesn't want to live with petitioner and prayed to extend the privileges of anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground of absense of criminal antecedent which is vehemently opposed by the learned Addl. P.P. for the State assisted with learned Counsel for the complainant.

Heard both sides and perused the case record from which it transpires that complainant filed a complaint case against altogether six named accused persons including the petitioner herein, who happens to be her husband, for committing cruelty and demand of dowry. The learned Court below, on the basis of the complaint petition, S.A. of the complainant and evidences of inquiry witnesses, was pleased to pass summoning order finding a prime facie case being made out u/S 498 of the I.P.C. and Sec. 4 of the D.P. Act against only one accused who is petitioner herein. During the course of hearing of this anticipatory bail petition this Court, to explore the possibility of settlement of dispute between the parties, sent the petitioner and his wife (complainant) to Mediation Center but it failed. This Court also tried to settle the dispute through counselling but on 15.06.2026 the complainant filed copies of petitions addressed to S.S.P., Bhagalpur and S.H.O., Shivnaraynapur stating therein about the assault committed by four persons including the petitioner herein on 12.06.2026 with a prayer to inquire into the matter. Though, the complainant stated in her petitions that she was assaulted at the hands of four persons but neither there is any injury report nor any chit of medical paper in support of her allegation. On being confronted, the petitioner refuted all the allegations made in the petitions and stated that complainant doesn't want to live with him. Both parties traded charges against each other and this Court doesn't see any scope, for the present, that they will be able to live together. Present case arises out of complaint case and the offences are punishable upto three years and no purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bar. There is no other allegation against the accused petitioner. Under these circumstances, this Court is inclined to extend the privileges of anticipatory bail to

this petitioner.

Hence, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail made on behalf of accused petitioner namely **Lalu Ansari @ Md. Lal Muhammad** is hereby **allowed**. It is hereby directed that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within 30 days from the receipt of a copy of this order, the accused petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand rupees only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below. Petitioner is directed to file an **undertaking** that he will not misuse the privileges of bail, will cooperate in the trial and is further directed to furnish his mobile number with direction that neither he will change the mobile number nor turn off the mobile without information to the court.

Dictated

Sd/-

(Prabhat Krishna)
IVth Addl. Sessions Judge
Bhagalpur

Date of judgment/order	04-07-2026
Date of Reserving judgment/order	-
Uploading Date	08-07-2026
Uploaded by	Stenographer I/c