

IN THE COURT OF SRI RAJ NARAYAN NIGAM, DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I,
BHAGALPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 669/2026
(Arising out of Kahalgaon P.S. Case No. 60/2026)
U/s 87, 3(5) BNS.

Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Jaiswal & Ors. versus The State of Bihar

- 1. Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Jaiswal, aged about 52 yrs, S/o Ramvilash Bhagat.**
 - 2. Sangita Devi, aged about 43 yrs, W/o Santosh Kumar.**
- All R/o- Karikado, P.S- Shivnarayanpur, District- Bhagalpur.**

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ravi Kumar Singh, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Additional P.P.

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
2	3	4
26-05-2026	The accused-petitioners Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Jaiswal and Sangita Devi apprehends their arrest in connection with Kahalgaon P.S. Case No. 60/2026 registered under sections U/s 87, 3(5) BNS. On behalf of the petitioners a certificate has been given in Para 2 of the bail petition that the petitioners had not filed any bail petition in any form on previous occasion either in the court of Learned Sessions Judge, Bhagalpur or before the Hon'ble High Court Patna. Further, the petitioners have no criminal history as per para 3 of bail petition. The prosecution story as per the written application of the informant namely Suresh Yadav in brief, is that on 08-02-2026 at about 1 O'Clock when he and his son returned home from <i>barati</i>, they found her daughter Rupa Kumari missing. After search they got to know that one Rahul Kumar @ Rumen has abducted her daughter with the intention of marrying her and Rahul Kumar @ Rumen's father Santosah Jaiswal and mother have helped him in abduction of informant's daughter. Hence, this FIR. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence as alleged and they have falsely been implicated in this case. He further submitted that victim is major and she willingly left her house and went along with the petitioner as there was love affair between petitioner and victim. On these grounds petitioners prays for grant of Anticipatory Bail. The Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the state opposed the prayer for bail. Heard both sides and perused the case record and case diary. Petitioners are named in FIR. Para 02 of the case dairy is the statement of informant who have reiterated the prosecution story. Para 06, 07, 08, 09, 10 and 11 of the case diary are the statements of witnesses who have supported the prosecution story. Victim has not yet	

IN THE COURT OF SRI RAJ NARAYAN NIGAM, DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I,
BHAGALPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 669/2026
(Arising out of Kahalgaon P.S. Case No. 60/2026)
U/s 87, 3(5) BNS.

Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Jaiswal & Ors. versus The State of Bihar

26-05-2026 contd...P2	been recovered. Further, the investigation is still pending against the petitioners. Keeping in view the nature of the offence and victim has not yet been recovered, this Court of the view that petitioners does not deserve for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above-named petitioners is hereby Rejected. SD/- District & Additional Sessions Judge- I Bhagalpur.	
--------------------------	---	--