

**IN THE COURT OF SRI DEEPAK KUMAR-I, ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- II, BHAGALPUR**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 595/2026**

(Arising out of **Sultanganj P.S. Case No. 126/2026**)

1. **Md. Arman @ Md. Arman**, age 19 years, S/o Md. Bablu @ Md. Rustam Rayeen
2. **Gudiya Devi @ Afsana Khatoon**, age 42 years, W/o Md. Bablu @ Md. Rustam Rayeen
3. **Md. Bablu @ Md. Rustam Rayeen**, age 52 years, S/o Barati Miyan @ Barati Rayeen
4. **Muskan Ajmeri @ Muskan**, age 25 years, D/o Md. Bablu @ Md. Rustam Rayeen
5. **Muskan Ajmeri @ Ajmeri Khatoon**, age 27 years, D/o Md. Bablu @ Md. Rustam Rayeen
6. **Md. Chand @ Md. Nisar**, age 45 years, S/o Barati Miyan @ Md. Barati .....**Petitioner**

**Versus**

**The State of Bihar**

.....**Opp. Party**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Faiyaz, Ld. Advocate.  
For the State : Mr. D.N. Sahay, Ld. A.P.P.  
For the Informant : Mr. Gautam Roy, Ld. Advocate

Date of order	Order with signature of the court
1	2
09-04-2026	<p>This is a petition filed on behalf of the above named accused-petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail who apprehension their arrest in connection with <b>Sultanganj P.S. Case No. 126/2026</b> for offences punishable <b>U/s 137 (2), 140 (3) BNS</b>.</p> <p>On behalf of the petitioners a certificate has been given in bail petition that earlier no anticipatory or regular bail petition has been filed on their behalf either before the Court of the Ld. Principal Sessions Judge, Bhagalpur or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. In para-3 of bail petition, accused-petitioners have given certificate that they have no criminal antecedents.</p> <p>Prosecution case, as per FIR of informant Munna, in brief, is that his marriage was solemnised on 21.04.2010. Thereafter, four children were born out between them and On 18.02.2026 his wife came at Sultanganj from her Maika. On 19.02.2026 his wife alongwith his younger daughter went to market for purchasing something but she did not return. Thereafter, he started searching his wife, in the meanwhile, he came to know that Md. Arman with the help of all FIR named accused-persons by inducing have disappeared his wife. When he complaint about his missing wife from the parents of Md. Arman then they told that his son has taken his wife. Thereafter, he came to know that his wife alongwith Md. Arman has taken out Rs. 2 lakh from the account of his wife and fled away from their house. Hence, this FIR is lodged.</p> <p>The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that victim is major and she has stated that she has gone</p>

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 595/2026**

(Arising out of **Sultanganj P.S. Case No. 126/2026**)

<p>Contd...P/2 09-04-2026</p>	<p>voluntarily and alleged sections are not made out against the accused-petitioners and petitioners have not taken Rs. 2 lakh from the informant. On such basis, it has been prayed that petitioners be enlarged on anticipatory bail.</p> <p>On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.</p> <p>Heard both the parties.</p> <p>Perused the case record as well as case diary it transpires that there is allegation against these accused-petitioners that they have kidnapped the wife of informant and has taken Rs. 2 lakh from the account of his wife and fled away from the home. Para-2 of the case diary is the re-statement of informant who reiterated the prosecution case. Para-3 &amp; 4 are the statements of witnesses who have also supported the case of the prosecution. Para-19 of the case diary reveals that victim has recovered by the police during the course of investigation. During the course of hearing, informant alongwith his one daughter of victim physically appeared before the Court and stated that accused-petitioners have kidnapped his wife and also withdrawn Rs. 2 lakh from the account of his wife by which his three daughters and he were suffering from many problems. Further, investigation against this accused-petitioner is still pending.</p> <p>Keeping in view the nature of the offence, complicity &amp; conduct of the accused petitioners, severity of punishment and submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, the allegations against the petitioners are serious in nature. So, I am of the view that applicants/petitioners do not deserve for anticipatory bail and accused-petitioners are directed to come in regular bail. Accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby <b>Rejected.</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Additional Sessions Judge-II Bhagalpur</p>
-----------------------------------	---