

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHAGALPUR

**Anticipatory Bail Application No. 587/2026
Sabour P.S. Case No. 245/2023
Sonu Paswan @ Sonu Kumar Versus The State of Bihar**

Counsel for the Petitioner :- Sri Azad Vivekanand, Advocate.
For the State :- Sri Satya Narayan Prasad Sah, Learned Public Prosecutor.

Date of Order	Order with Signature of the Court
1	2
03-06-2026	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of accused-petitioner, Sou Paswan @ Sonu Kumar, under Section 482 BNSS, seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with Sabour P.S. Case No. 245/2023, instituted for the offences u/s 341, 323, 307, 324, 504, 379, 147, 148 of the IPC.2. Heard Sri Azad Vivekanand, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Satya Narayan Prasad Sah, learned Public Prosecutor for the State.3. Prosecution case as stated in the First Information Report is that the informant Sugriv Paswan came at the house of his father-in-law Bablu Paswan situated in village Rajpur under Sabour P.S., District – Bhagalpur where it is alleged that the accused persons named in the FIR abused and assaulted the informant's brother-in-law Nitish Kumar, who was with the informant. It is further alleged that on 29-04-2023 at around 09:30 in the night, when the informant Sugriv Paswan and his brother-in-law Nitish Kumar went to the house of the accused persons to ask them as to why they had assaulted Nitish Kumar then the accused persons assaulted them with bricks-stones and lathi-danda. It is further alleged that accused Dharmendra Paswan assaulted the informant on his head by means of Farsa, due to which the informant sustained injury on his head and in course whereof it is alleged that Rs. 1000/- was snatched from possession of informant. At the same time, the nearby people arrived on spot, who saved the informant. The accused persons also threatened the informant with dire consequences, if any case is lodged. On the basis of written report of informant, the present case has been instituted.4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits the allegation against petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. He fairly

Contd.
03-06-2026

submits that there is specific allegation against co-accused Dharmendra Paswan that he gave a Farsa blow on the head of the informant. However, the doctor has opined the nature of injury sustained by the informant as simple. The petitioner bears clean antecedent. It has further been submitted that the the present case is the counter blast of Sabour P.S. Case No. 246/2023 and in both cases, the parties have compromised the case. A joint compromise petition was filed before the learned court below. It has further been submitted that other co-accused persons including co-accused Dharmendra Paswan, against whom there is specific allegation of assault with Farsa on the head of the informant, has been granted anticipatory bail by this court on 14-08-2023 passed in ABP No. 2037 of 2023. Further, co-accused Domi Paswan has been granted regular bail by this court on 15-06-2023 passed in BP No. 1335/2023 and the case of this petitioner more or less stands on similar footing. It has further been submitted that during investigation the petitioner was granted benefit u/s 41(1) Cr.P.C. and there is nothing on the case record to show that the petitioner misused the said privilege. He further submits that after investigation though charge-sheet has been submitted under bailable section but the learned trial court also took cognizance of the offence u/s 308 IPC along with other sections mentioned in the charge-sheet vide order dated 06-03-2024. He further submits that since charge-sheet against the petitioner, hence, there is no need for further custodial interrogation. The petitioner bears clean antecedent. On these grounds prayer has been made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor though opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner but he did not controvert the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner.
6. After having gone through the documents and the materials available on the case record as well as case diary, it appears that though, the petitioner is named in the FIR against whom there is general and omnibus allegation that he along with other co-accused persons abused and assaulted the informant and his brother-in-law. In the FIR itself, it has been specifically stated that co-accused Dharmendra Paswan assaulted the informant with Farsa on his head but as per injury report which is available with the case diary it appears that the doctor has opined nature of injury as simple. Moreover, the said co-accused Dharmendra Paswan has been granted anticipatory bail along with other co-accused persons by the predecessor of this court. Further, it appears that during investigation the petitioner was given benefit

Contd.
03-06-2026

under section 41(1) Cr.P.C. and on completion of investigation though charge-sheet has been submitted under bailable sections but vide order dated 06-03-2024, the learned trial court also took cognizance of the offence u/s 308 IPC along with allied sections as mentioned in the charge-sheet. As per para 44 of the case diary, the petitioner bears clean antecedent.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, as discussed above, and the fact that other co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory as well as regular bail by the predecessor of this court, the allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature, the petitioner having clean antecedent and on completion of investigation charge-sheet has been submitted in this case, let the petitioner be admitted to the privilege of anticipatory bail. It is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from the date of order of this Court, the petitioner Sonu Paswan @ Sonu Kumar shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 of BNSS. The petitioner is directed to co-operate in the trial. The petitioner is also directed that he shall not make any inducement, threat or promise to the witnesses so as to dissuade them from not deposing or falsely deposing before the court.

Sd/-

(Dipankar Pandey)
Principal Sessions Judge.

Date of Judgment/Order	03-06-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	N/A
Uploading Date	04-06-2026
Uploaded by	R.B.D. Tripathi, Steno.