

IN THE COURT OF SRI RAJ NARAYAN NIGAM, DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I,
BHAGALPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 192/2026
(Arising out of Shahkund P.S. Case No. 166/2025)
U/s 115(2), 329(4), 76, 64, 352, 351(2), 3(5) BNS.
Sarita Devi Versus The State of Bihar

Sarita Devi, aged about 43 yrs, W/o- Lt. Wakil Mandal
R/o- Khulni, P.S- Shahkund, District-Bhagalpur.

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Additional P.P.

order or proceeding	Date of Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3
06-05-2026	The petitioner namely Sarita Devi apprehends his arrest in connection with Shahkund P.S. Case No. 166/2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 329(4), 76, 64, 352, 351(2), 3(5) BNS. On behalf of the petitioner a certificate has been given in para 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not filed any bail petition in any form on previous occasion either in the court of Learned Sessions Judge, Bhagalpur or before the Hon'ble High Court Patna. Further petitioner bears clean antecedent. Prosecution case in brief as per written application of the informant Runa Devi is that on 28-08-2025 one Bittu Kumar forcefully and started molesting her daughter Chandini Kumari and also tried to commit rape with her. When her daughter cried out Bittu Kumar ran away. When informant went to Bittu Kumar's house to inform about the incident then her mother Sarita Devi and sister Dukhni Kumari abused her, assaulted her and also threatened her. Hence, the instant FIR. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is quiet innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that there is no any specific allegation against this petitioner. He further submitted that all the sections as alleged against the petitioner is bailable and allegation U/s 76 and 64 BNS is not attracted against this petitioner. On these grounds, the petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail. Heard both sides. Perused the case diary and the case record. From the perusal of case diary it appears that this petitioner is mother of co-accused Bittu Kumar and allegation against this petitioner is of abusing and assaulting informant. Moreover, allegation U/s 76 and 64 BNS is not attracted against this petitioner. Therefore, considering the facts and circumstances of the case as discussed above, the anticipatory bail application of petitioner is allowed. It is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of this order, the above-named petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.	

IN THE COURT OF SRI RAJ NARAYAN NIGAM, DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I,
BHAGALPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 192/2026
(Arising out of Shahkund P.S. Case No. 166/2025)
U/s 115(2), 329(4), 76, 64, 352, 351(2), 3(5) BNS.
Sarita Devi Versus The State of Bihar

06-05-2026 Contd.P/2	10,000/- each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. with further condition that the petitioner will co-operate in the investigation/trial and they must not use their liberty to influence the trial. SD/- District & Additional Sessions Judge I Bhagalpur.	
-------------------------	--	--

IN THE COURT OF SRI RAJ NARAYAN NIGAM, DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- I,
BHAGALPUR

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 192/2026
(Arising out of Shahkund P.S. Case No. 166/2025)
U/s 115(2), 329(4), 76, 64, 352, 351(2), 3(5) BNS.
Sarita Devi Versus The State of Bihar

14-11-2025
contd...P3