


IN THE COURT OF MAYANK KUMAR PANDEY, SDJM, MANJHAUL, BEGUSARAI**Complainant Case- 218/2021****State Vs Vikki Kumar & ors.**

Sl. No	Date of order of proceeding	Order with the signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
	31.07.2025	<p>The application u/s 311 Crpc has been filed on behalf of State and he has stated that on 18.07.2022 the cognizance has been taken and further charges has been framed and evidences of informant has been recorded on 31.01.2023.</p> <p>He has further stated that in column no. 13 Vinod Singh, Shri Ram and Pankaj Kumar has been give up as evidence through application of prosecution on 18.12.2023 and from the perusal of the record, it appears that there is a injured namely Subham Kumar and further Dayaram Singh and Ramkumari Devi are named as witness in para no. 20. Hence evidence of the above witnesses are essential.</p> <p>Defence has given no objection over the same but clarified that he has given no objection on the application of prosecution dated 18.12.2023 which have been filed for give up of the witnesses and objected orally about the requirement of evidences of new witnesses. As in column no. 13 the above witnesses are not named as witness.</p> <p>Perused the case record and heard both the parties and after perusal of the same it appears that from the para no. 1 itself suggests the name of the witnesses has been mentioned including Subham Kumar, Dayaram Singh, Ramkumari Devi, Shriram Singh and Ramniwas Singh and further has been also mentioned at the time of giving application before the Ld. Court while registration of FIR and Subham Kumar who is injured is not named as witness. Hence considering the above circumstances and for the interest of justice and just disposal of the case application of the State is hereby allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">SDJM  Manjhaul</p>	