

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge, Manjhaul (Begusarai)

A.B.A No-2618/2025

Manjhaul P.S. Case No- 48/2025

In the matter of :

Krishna Kumar, aged about-20 yrs, s/o- Kailash Sahni.

R/o-vill- Manjhaul Bich Khanna, Manjhaul-03 P.S.- Manjhaul, Distt.- Begusarai.

Vs.

State of Bihar

Ld. counsel for the petitioner: Sri Rakesh Kumar, Id. Adv

Ld. counsel for the State: Sri Rakesh Kumar, Id. A.P.P.

Ld. counsel for the informant: Md. Hafiz, Id. Adv

Date of Order- 10th April, 2026

Present:-

**Sanjay Kumar Singh
Addl Sessions Judge,
Subdivisional Civil Court,
Manjhaul, Begusarai**

1. The petitioner namely **Krishna Kumar** seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Manjhaul P.S. Case No-48/25 registered for the offences punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 96, 74, 351(2), 352 & 3(5) of BNS. The copy of the same has been served to the Ld. APP for the state. Ld. counsels for the parties have been exhaustively heard.

2. The brief case of the prosecution is in a written report of one Gudiya Devi who stated that on 18.05.2025 at about 5:00 am the informant was at her home. When the informant did not see her minor daughter Anjali Kumari, aged 17 years, at home then she started searching for her. During the search, it was found that the accused had enticed and taken away the informant's daughter Anjali Kumari with the intention of marriage or with wrong intention and kidnapped her. In this incident the accused was assisted by his father Kailash Sahni and mother Anita Devi as well.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the accused petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to ill motive of the informant. The prosecution story is false and concocted which is based on conjecture and surmises. There is no criminal antecedent of the petitioner. No bail application has been filed on behalf of the abovenamed petitioner before this learned court, or before Hon'ble High Court

Patna. The offences alleged in FIR are bailable in nature except 96,74 of the BNS which are not made out against the petitioner. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. No one has seen the incident with their own eyes rather someone else told the informant about the incident. There is no iota of evidence against the petitioner. On these grounds, the Ld. counsel prays that the petitioner be admitted to Anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the state opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard Ld. counsels and perused the case record. At the outset it be mentioned that the case diary was called for and the same has been annexed with the record for perusal. On perusal of FIR, case diary and other material available on judicial record the case has been registered u/s- 126(2),115(2),96,74,351(2),352 & 3(5) of BNS against the petitioner along with other accused persons. Petitioner is named in FIR and the allegation against him that he has kidnapped the minor daughter of informant to marry of with wrong intention. Witnesses in para-2, 9, 10, 52, 53 of case diary have supported the case of prosecution. As per para-49 of case diary the victim girl was minor aged about 17 years at the time of occurrence. The allegations pertain to the kidnapping of minor daughter of informant. The investigation is still in progress and custodial interrogation may be necessary to unearth further evidence. The gravity of the offence coupled with the possibility of tampering with witnesses or evidences. Considering the nature of accusation, the supporting materials in the case diary, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner, namely, Krishna Kumar in connection with Manjhaul P.S. Case No. 48/2025, is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

**Sanjay Kumar Singh
Addl. S.J., Manjhaul**

Date of Judgment / Order	10.04.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment / Order	10.04.2026
Uploading Date	13.04.2026
Uploaded by	Stenographer