

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge, Manjhaul (Begusarai)
A.B.A No-734/2026
Cheria Bariyarpur P.S. Case No-49/2026

In the matter of :

Ram Nandan Yadav, aged about-69 Yrs, s/o-Late Raghuni Yadav, r/o-vill-Garsayil Sakarbasa Ward No-6, P.S-Cheria Bariyarpur, District-Begusarai

Ld. counsel for the petitioner: Md. Irfan, Ld. Adv

Ld. counsel for the State: Sri. Rakesh Kumar, Ld. Adv

Date of Order-25.05.2026

Present:-

Sanjay Kumar Singh
Addl Sessions Judge,
Subdivisional Civil Court,
Manjhaul, Begusarai

1. The petitioner namely **Ram Nandan Yadav** seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Cheria Bariyarpur P.S. Case No-49/2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 115(2), 126(2), 303(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS. The copy of same has been served to the Ld. APP for state. Ld. counsels for the parties have been exhaustively heard.

2. The case of the prosecution is in written application of informant-Amresh Kumar who stated that on 01.03.2026 at about 06.00 AM he was going to his field and at that time someone was throwing cow dung and when he objected, then the accused persons, namely, Upendra Yadav, Ram Nandan Yadav, Bambam Yadav, Anita Devi, Parvati Kumari came along with lathi, danda and started abusing the informant. Accused-Ram Nandan Yadav told to kill, then accused Bambam Yadav assaulted the informant with the rod at his leg due to which he fell down on the ground. Then accused Upendra Yadav hit with khanti on the head of informant due to which his head got injured and the blood was oozing out. When the wife of the informant came to rescue, then accused-Anita Devi started beating her and accused-Parvati Devi took out Rs.500/- from the pocket of the informant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the accused petitioner is quite innocent and he has committed no any offence. No regular or anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner either before this Id. court or in the Hon'ble High Court, Patna and the same was not rejected. There is no criminal antecedent against the petitioner. Both sides are next door neighbours. There is case and counter case between the parties. From perusal of FIR no any specific allegation against the petitioner. Earlier a case as Cheria Bariyarpur P.S. Case No-124/215 was lodged by the petitioner against the informant. There is land dispute admitted between the parties. On these grounds the Ld. counsel prays that the petitioner be admitted to anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the state opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard Ld. Counsels and perused the case record. At the outset it be mentioned that the case diary was called for and the same has been annexed with the record for perusal. On perusal of FIR, case diary and other material available on judicial record the case has been registered u/s-115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of BNS against the petitioners along with other accused persons. The petitioner is named in the FIR and it is alleged that he abused the informant and order other accused persons to kill the informant only. But no any overt act has been attributed against the petitioner and the roles and allegations are omnibus. As per para no-80 of the case diary, the caused injuries are simple in nature. As per para no-27 of the case diary the fight was done with sticks. Both sides are gotiya and next door neighbours. There is prior land dispute between them. Under the circumstances, I am of the view that the petitioner deserves anticipatory bail. The anticipatory bail petition stands allowed; in the event of arrest or surrender within fifteen

P.O-Sanjay Kumar Singh,
(Addl.S.J., Manjhaul)
In the Court of Addl.S.J.Manjhaul (Begusarai)
ABA No-734/2026
Ram Nandan Yadav Vs. State of Bihar
dated-25.05.2026

days from today, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Id. court below with condition that the petitioner shall extend his complete cooperation in the ensuing investigation and shall not misuse his liberty nor tamper with evidence or influence the witnesses. Petitioner shall not indulge in similar nature offence in future. If there be any violation of the aforesaid conditions, the liberty is reserved to cancellation of anticipatory bail.

Opinion and views expressed herein above shall not affect the merits of the case during trial.

Dictated

**Sanjay Kumar Singh
Addl. S.J., Manjhaul**

Date of Judgment / Order	25.05.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment / Order	N/A
Uploading Date	
Uploaded by	Sanjeev Kumar Suman