

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge, Manjhaul (Begusarai)
A.B.A No-507/2026
Manjhaul P.S. Case No- 25/2026

In the matter of :

Rahul Kuamar, aged about-21 yrs, s/o- Arjun Poddar,
 R/o-vill- Manjhaul, Thumb Tola, P.S.- Manjhaul, Distt.- Begusarai.

Vs.

State of Bihar

Ld. counsel for the petitioner: Sri Shyam Nandan Singh, Id. Adv

Ld. counsel for the State: Sri Rakesh Kumar, Id. A.P.P.

Date of Order- 09th April, 2026

Present:-

Sanjay Kumar Singh
Addl Sessions Judge,
Subdivisional Civil Court,
Manjhaul, Begusarai

1. The petitioner namely *Rahul Kumar* seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Manjhaul P.S. Case No-25/2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 109(1), 74, 3(5) of BNS. The copy of the same has been served to the Ld. APP for the state. Ld. counsels for the parties have been exhaustively heard .

2. The brief case of the prosecution is in written report of informant Aarti Kumari who stated that on 21.02.2026 at about 05:00 pm she went to Manjhaul market along with her parents to buy vegetables. At about 06.00 pm when they were returning and reached near the house of Mukhiya Dhunmun Singh then Rahul Kumar, Nikku Kumar, Shiv Kumar @ Mickle and two unknown persons surrounded them. The accused persons assaulted her father with lathi-danda and butt of pistol with intention to kill him, due to which he received head injury and bleeding started profusely. They also abused her father. When informant mother started crying, nearby people came running then all accused persons fled away but accused Nikku Kumar caught by villagers and handed over to police. The reason behind the incident is accused Rahul Kumar used to call informant and messaged her by different numbers on WhatsApp to talk and made physical relation with him and the event unfolded when she refused.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the accused petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. The petitioner has not moved any other bail petition in connection with this case either in your honour's court or in Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner has got clean antecedent. The apprehension of arrest in connection with this case by the concerned police. All the allegations as alleged against the petitioner is false and fabricated. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. No offence is made out u/s 109 of BNS. There is general and omnibus allegation. The allegation of frequent calls having been made by the petitioner to the informant with illegal intent is ornamental in nature. The petitioner is regular student at Para Medical College, Samastipur. The petitioner is ready to co-operate in the investigation of the case and he is ready to comply other terms and conditions also. On these grounds, the Ld. counsel prays that the petitioner be admitted to regular bail.

4. Learned counsel for the state opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard Ld. counsels and perused the case record. At the outset it be mentioned that the case diary was called for and the same has been annexed with the record for perusal. On perusal of FIR, case diary and other material available on judicial record the case has been registered u/s- 126(2),115(2), 351(2),352, 109(1), 74, 3(5) of BNS against the petitioner along with other accused persons. The petitioner is named in FIR and there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he used to call informant and messaged her by different numbers on WhatsApp to talk and made physical relation with him and when the informant refused, the petitioner along with others abused and assaulted her father with sticks and butt of pistol as a result he sustained head injury. The witnesses in para-3, 4, 5, 9 of the case diary have supported the case of the prosecution. As per para-17 of case diary villagers specifically corroborated the occurrence on the condition of anonymity, that the incident occurred because the petitioner was pressuring the informant to meet him, and the event unfolded when she refused. As per para-40 although the caused injuries are simple in nature but caused on the head of the injured which is vital part of the body. The allegations pertain to a serious offence. The investigation is still in progress and custodial interrogation may be necessary to

*P.O-Sanjay Kumar Singh,
(Addl.S.J., Manjhaul)
In the Court of Addl.S.J.Manjhaul (Begusarai)
ABA No-507/2026
Rahul Kumar Vs. State of Bihar*

unearth further evidence. The gravity of the offence coupled with the possibility of tampering with witnesses or evidences. Under the circumstances, I am of the view that the petitioner does not deserves anticipatory bail. Consequently, the anticipatory bail application of petitioner stands rejected.

Dictated

Sanjay Kumar Singh
Addl. S.J., Manjhaul

Date of Judgment / Order	09.04.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment / Order	09.04.2026
Uploading Date	10.04.2026
Uploaded by	Stenographer