

**In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge, Manjhaul (Begusarai)**  
**A.B.A No-335/2026**  
**Manjhaul P.S. Case No- 80/2025**

**In the matter of :**

1. Ghanshyam Jha, aged about-30 yrs, s/o- Girish Jha
  2. Abhiram Jha, aged about-31 yrs, s/o- Girish Jha
- Both R/o-vill- Parba, Ward No. 07, P.S.- Manjhaul, Distt.- Begusarai  
Vs.  
State of Bihar

Ld. counsel for the petitioners: Sri Anupam Kumar, Id. Adv

Ld. counsel for the State: Sri Rakesh Kumar, Id. A.P.P.

Ld. counsel for the informant: Sri Pammanand Choudhary, Id. Adv

**Date of Order- 26<sup>th</sup> March, 2026**

**Present:-**

**Sanjay Kumar Singh**  
**Addl Sessions Judge,**  
**Subdivisional Civil Court,**  
**Manjhaul, Begusarai**

1. The petitioners namely Ghanshyam Jha and Abhiram Jha seek anticipatory bail in connection with Manjhaul P.S. Case No-80/2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 76, 303(2), 3(5) of BNS. The copy of same has been served to the Ld. APP for state. Ld. counsels for the party have been exhaustively heard.
2. The brief case of the prosecution is in written report of one Amresh Kumar Jha informant who stated that on 18.08.2025 at 04.00 pm Ghanshyam Jha, Girish Jha, Abhiram Jha came armed with lathi, iron rod and abused him. When informant opposed, Ghanshyam Jha hit on the waist his son who is mentally unwell, as a result blood was oozing out. Girish Jha hit on informant's leg and waist from lathi. When informant's wife came to save, Abhiram pulled her hair and torn her blouse & saree, due to which she became half naked and Abhiram Jha molested her. On raising hulla, neighbour gathered then Abhiram took golden Mangalsutra from his wife's neck.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that these petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. The petitioner never filed bail application under any provision of law earlier or either before this Id. court or the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioners have no any criminal antecedent. The informant and the accused are neighbours of each other. The prosecution story as alleged in the FIR is totally false, concocted, baseless and frame reality and the petitioners are falsely implicated in the case due to land dispute. All sections as alleged in the FIR are bailable in nature except u/s 303(2), 76 of BNS which are not make out against the petitioners in the fact and circumstances of the case and sections 303(2), 76 of BNS the artificial and ornaments have been used to make it look serious. The petitioners belong to very respectable family and there are no chance of absconding or tampering with the prosecution witnesses. The petitioners are ready to abide by all the terms and conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of BNS. On these grounds the Ld. counsel prays that the petitioners be admitted to regular bail.
4. Learned counsel for the state opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard Ld. counsels and perused the case record. At the outset it be mentioned that the case diary was called for and the same has been annexed with the record for perusal. On perusal of FIR, case diary and other material available on judicial record this case was registered for the offences punishable under section- 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 76, 303(2), 3(5) of BNS against the accused petitioners. The petitioners are named in FIR and the allegation against them is that they abused and assaulted the informant, his son and wife with iron rod, sticks and they also outraged the modesty of informant's wife. As per injury report only one caused injury over left thigh is simple in nature. Para-35 of case diary shows that petitioners having no any criminal incident. As per para-20 both side are Gotiya. Moreover, the investigation of this case is completed, for which charge-sheet under section- 126(2), 115(2), 74, 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS has already submitted as such there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. Except 74 BNS other alleged section are bailable. Under the circumstances, I am of the view that the petitioners deserve anticipatory bail. The anticipatory bail petition stands allowed; in the event of arrest or surrender within fifteen days from today, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Id. court

*P.O-Sanjay Kumar Singh,  
(Addl.S.J., Manjhaul)  
In the Court of Addl.S.J.Manjhaul (Begusarai)  
ABA No-335/2026  
Ghanshyam Jha & another Vs. State of Bihar*

below with condition that the petitioners shall not misuse their liberty nor tamper with evidence or influence the witnesses. If there be any violation of the aforesaid conditions, the liberty is reserved to cancellation of anticipatory bail. Opinion expressed herein above shall not affect the merits of the case during trial.

*Dictated*  
*26.03.26*  
**Sanjay Kumar Singh**  
**Addl. S.J., Manjhaul**

Date of Judgment / Order	26.03.2026
Date of Reserving Judgment / Order	26.03.2026
Uploading Date	
Uploaded by	Md. Zeeshan Ali Ahmad