

Present: Sanjay Kumar-III
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Begusarai
ABA No. 1276/2026
Raushan Kumar @ Albam @ Amit Kumar @ Anshu Vrs. State of Bihar
Date of Order: 24.07.2026

In the Court of Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Begusarai

A.B.A. No. 1276 of 2026

Arising out of Mufassil P.S. Case No. 104/2026

Raushan Kumar @ /Albam @ Amit Kumar @ Anshu Vrs. State of Bihar

24.07.2026

1. An anticipatory bail petition dated 05-06-2026 has been filed on behalf of accused/petitioner namely; **Raushan Kumar @ Albam @ Amit Kumar @ Anshu** s/o-Bipin Kunwar @ Kailu R/o-village-Basudevpur Ward No.9, P.S.-Mufassil, Dist.-Begusarai who is apprehending his arrests in connection with Mufassil P.S Case No.104/2026 for committing an offence u/s-126(2), 115(2), 74, 76, 308(5), 324(3), 329(3), 3(5) of BNS.

2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 07.04.2026 at about 5:00 PM, accused/petitioner Raushan Kumar @ Albam called the informant's husband and demanded ransom of Rs. 5,00,000/- and threatened to kill the family. Again on 08.04.2026, 09.04.2026 and 08.05.2026 he demanded ransom money and threatened that if ransom was not paid, he along with Shubham Kumar @ Gaina, Vinit Singh, Saurabh Kumar Singh and some unknown persons would enter the house and kill everyone. In pursuance of the same, on 09.05.2026 at about 6:00 PM all the accused persons including the petitioner entered the house of the informant vandalized the household articles, assaulted the informant, her father-in-law, and attempted to outrage the modesty of the informant. However, due to intervention of villagers from nearby village, the informant was saved. While leaving, the accused persons again threatened to kill the entire family if ransom was not paid. The informant has mobile recordings and CCTV footage of the incident. So this case.

3. The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of accused/petitioner submitted that no any B.A or ABA has been filed before this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. It is further submitted that the petitioner is innocent, have committed no offence, and he has been falsely implicated in this case. There is one criminal antecedent of the petitioner. There is inordinate delay in lodging the FIR. No offence u/s-308(5) of BNS is made out against the petitioner and the allegation of section 74 and 76 of BNS is ornamental in nature. There is no evidence relating to the threat call and extortion calls, made by accused persons on the mobile of informant's husband. He further submitted that petitioner is ready to abide by all the conditions imposed by the court, so petitioner may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, the Addl Spl. PP for State as well as learned counsel for the informant has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail to the petitioner.

Present: Sanjay Kumar-III
Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Begusarai
ABA No. 1276/2026
Raushan Kumar @ Albam @ Amit Kumar @ Anshu Vrs. State of Bihar
Date of Order: 24.07.2026

5. Having heard both the parties and perused the case record along with case diary. On perusal of record, it appears that the petitioner is a named accused in the FIR. There is specific allegation against the petitioner that he along with other co-accused persons repeatedly called and demanded ransom of Rs. 5,00,000/-, threatened to kill the informant's family, and on 09.05.2026 he along with others entered the house of the informant, assaulted her and her father-in-law, vandalized the household articles and also attempted to outrage the modesty of the informant. During the course of hearing, learned counsel on behalf of the informant submitted a list of documents along with a pen drive and submitted that the CCTV footage/video of the alleged incident is available in the pen drive. However, no authentication report of the competent authority was filed. Moreover, the informant and the witnesses have supported the prosecution case. The confessional statement of co-accused namely Vinit Singh is recorded at para-34 of the case diary, in which he has confessed his guilt that he along with the present petitioner had committed the alleged occurrence. From perusal of para-3 of the bail petition, it appears that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent. The offences alleged are serious in nature. The petitioner is named in the FIR registered u/s 126(2), 115(2), 74, 76, 308(5), 352, 351(3) of BNS. The investigation is going on.

6. Considering the gravity and nature of accusation, criminal antecedent of the petitioner, materials collected against the petitioner and investigation yet to be completed; this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner namely, Raushan Kumar @ Albam @ Amit Kumar @ Anshu is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated & Corrected)

Sd/-

(Sanjay Kumar-III)

Dist. & Addl. Sessions Judge-1,
 Begusarai/24-07-2026

Date of Judgment / Order	24.07.2026
Date of Reseving Judgment / Order	N.A
Uploading Date	28.07.2026
Uploaded by	J.P