

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BEGUSARAI**

**A.B.A. No. 1125/2026**

**Ref:- Arising out of Naokothi P.S. Case No. 22/2026**

**Dt:01.02.2026**

**U/S-137(2)/96 of B.N.S.**

**In the matter of :-**

**1. Radha Devi, W/o-Pandav Paswan**

**2. Punam Devi, Wo- Guddu Paswan**

**Both R/o:- Vill. -Saidpur, P.S- Naokothi, District-Begusarai**

**.....Petitioners**

**Vrs.**

**The State of Bihar**

**...Opposite Party**

**Present:- Rishi Kant**

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

**Ld. Counsel for the petitioners :- Shri Jitendra Mahto, Adv.**

**Ld. Counsel for the O.P. :- Ld. P.P. I/C**

**Date of order:-11.06.2026**

**ORDER**

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioners who are apprehending their arrest in connection with above mentioned case for committing of an offence u/s- 137(2)/96 of B.N.S and the same has been put up for hearing.

Heard Learned Counsel Shri Jitendra Mahto appearing on behalf of accused/petitioners and Learned P.P. I/C for the State.

Prosecution case, in brief, is that on 24.01.2026 at about 07:00 P.M, the informant's daughter aged about 15 years went to Saidhpur Chowk for buying some articles, but did not return her house. The informant and her husband searched their daughter at their own level, but she was not traced out. The informant and her husband were crying, in the meantime; their neighbour Radha Devi threatened them to kill their daughter and accused Punam Devi also threatened the informant to be killed. They also stated that Nitish Kumar was with them. Nitish Kumar is brother of Punam Devi who lives in Nexalite group.

The Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of accused/petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not filed any bail either anticipatory bail or regular bail before this court or any higher court. It is further submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. All the alleged offences are false, fabricated and concocted which are based on conjecture and surmises. The actual fact is that the victim solemnized marriage with Nitish Kumar and she is residing with him as wife. No case is made out u/s 137(2) and 96 of B.N.S against the petitioners. The petitioner no. 1 is neighbor and petitioner no. 2 is sister of Nitish Kumar. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent and they are willing to comply with all the conditions imposed by the court, so they may be released on bail.

Per contra, the Ld. P.P. I/C appearing on behalf of State opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties, and perused the L.C.R. As per the F.I.R., there is no any allegation of kidnapping against the present petitioners, it has been only alleged that the petitioners were also involved in the said occurrence and they threatened the informant and her daughter to be killed. Further, from the perusal of the L.C.R., it appears that the statement of the victim was recorded under Section

180 of B.N.S.S in which she stated that she had love affair with accused Nitish Kumar for last three years. When her parents knew this fact, then, they started assaulting the victim. So, the victim on 14.01.2026 called Nitish Kumar and she along with Nitish Kumar went to Ludhiyana by taking train from Begusarai without informing anyone. They solemnized marriage there and started living as husband-wife. She went there on her own will. The statement of victim was also recorded u/s 183 of B.N.S.S in which she reiterated her statement as stated u/s 180 of B.N.S.S and stated that she solemnized marriage with accused Nitish Kumar and she wanted to live in her in-laws' house. She also stated that no one kidnapped her and she went out from her house on her own will. In both statements, the victim stated nothing about the present petitioners regarding her kidnapping and the present petitioner no. 1 is neighbour and petitioner no. 2 is sister of Nitish Kumar. Both petitioners are women having no criminal antecedent as per statement made at Para 3 of bail petition.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, and particularly considering the statements of the victim, let the petitioners be directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a month from the date of order on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousands) each with two sureties of like amount each subject to the satisfaction of learned court below in Naokothi P.S. Case No. 22/2026 on the following conditions that:-

1. The petitioners will make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.
2. The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade them from disclosing of such fact to the court or any police officer.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Rishi Kant)

Principal Sessions Judge, Begusarai

|                                  |             |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Date of Judgment/Order           | 11.06.2026  |
| Date of Reserving Judgment/Order | N.A.        |
| Uploading Date                   | 12.06.2026  |
| Uploaded by                      | Rahul Verma |